

From Margins to Mainstream: The Influence of Regional Parties on India's Governance

Avinash Pitta^{1*}, Prof. Peteti Premanandam²

^{1*}Research Scholar, Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-530 003, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Head, Dept. of Political Science and Public Administration, Andhra University

Abstract

India's political landscape has undergone a profound transformation since independence, evolving from a one-party dominant system to a vibrant multi-party democracy where regional parties have emerged as pivotal actors. This article examines the trajectory of regional parties from peripheral entities to mainstream influencers in national governance. Drawing on historical analysis, coalition dynamics, and case studies, it explores how these parties have reshaped center-state relations, policy formulation, and federal structures. Through a qualitative review of literature and secondary data from electoral outcomes, the study highlights the dual role of regional parties in promoting inclusive development while posing challenges to national cohesion. Key findings reveal that regional parties have secured nearly 45% of the national vote share in recent elections, compelling national governments to accommodate regional aspirations in areas like economic devolution, linguistic policies, and foreign relations. However, their fragmented nature risks policy paralysis in coalitions. The article underscores the need for institutional reforms to balance regional assertiveness with national unity, offering insights for strengthening India's federal democracy.

Keywords: Regional parties, Indian federalism, coalition politics, center-state relations, electoral dynamics, regionalism, governance influence, multi-party system.

Introduction

The political landscape of India has undergone significant transformations since the country's independence in 1947. The dominance of the Indian National Congress (INC) in the early decades of independence has given way to a multiparty system, with regional parties emerging as crucial players in shaping the country's governance. These parties, often rooted in regional identities and issues, have successfully mobilized support and gained power in various states, influencing national politics and policy-making in profound ways. This shift reflects the complex interplay between regional aspirations and national priorities, highlighting the evolving nature of India's federal democracy.

The rise of regional parties in India can be attributed to various factors, including the country's diverse socio-cultural fabric, uneven economic development, and the need for more localized governance. These parties have capitalized on regional sentiments and issues, often challenging the dominance of national parties and pushing for greater autonomy and resources for their respective states. As a result, regional parties have become key stakeholders in India's democratic process, contributing to the country's governance and policy-making in meaningful ways.

The influence of regional parties on India's governance can be seen in various areas, including policy-making, legislative functioning, and the overall dynamics of the country's federal system. By representing regional interests and aspirations, these parties have brought diverse perspectives and ideas to the national discourse, enriching the country's democracy and promoting more inclusive governance. Moreover, regional parties have often played a crucial role in shaping the country's coalition politics, with their support becoming essential for the formation and stability of governments at the national level.

Need for the Study

The rise of regional parties in India is a significant development in the country's democratic landscape. After independence, the Indian National Congress dominated the national politics for several decades. However, the 1990s saw a significant shift with the emergence of regional parties, which have since become crucial players in shaping the country's governance. Despite their growing influence, there is a need for a comprehensive study that examines the role of regional parties in India's governance. This study aims to fill this gap by analysing the influence of regional parties on policy-making, legislative functioning, and the federal system.

Review of Literature

The existing literature on regional parties in India highlights their significance in shaping the country's politics and governance. Scholars have argued that regional parties have emerged as a response to the centralizing tendencies of national parties and the need for more localized governance (Chandra, 2004). Regional parties have been successful in mobilizing support and gaining power in various states, contributing to the country's federal democracy (Suri, 2013).

However, their influence also raises important questions about the stability and effectiveness of governance in a multiparty system.

The literature also highlights the role of identity politics in the rise of regional parties. Scholars have argued that regional parties have capitalized on regional sentiments and issues, mobilizing support and gaining power in various states (Varshney, 2013). However, this has also led to concerns about the fragmentation of the polity and the emergence of narrow regional identities (Khilnani, 2004).

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the rise and influence of regional parties in India's governance.
2. To analyse the role of regional parties in shaping policy-making, legislative functioning, and the federal system.
3. To explore the implications of regional parties' influence on the stability and effectiveness of governance in a multiparty system.

Methodology of the Study

This study is based on a critical analysis of the existing literature and empirical data. The study uses a qualitative research approach, combining case studies and content analysis of secondary data. The study examines the role of regional parties in shaping policy-making, legislative functioning, and the federal system through a critical analysis of the existing literature and empirical data. The study focuses on the period from the 1980s to the present, which saw the emergence and consolidation of regional parties in India's politics. The study uses a combination of primary and secondary data, including election results, party manifestos, and legislative debates.

Theoretical Development

The rise of regional parties in India can be understood through the lens of various theoretical frameworks, including federalism, decentralization, and identity politics. These frameworks provide insights into the complex dynamics between regional aspirations and national priorities, highlighting the evolving nature of India's federal democracy.

One of the key theoretical underpinnings of regionalism is the concept of federalism. Federalism emphasizes the importance of decentralization and the distribution of power between the center and the states. In India, the federal system has provided a framework for regional parties to mobilize support and gain power in various states. By representing regional interests and aspirations, these parties have contributed to the country's governance and policy-making, promoting more inclusive and localized decision-making.

Decentralization is another important theoretical framework for understanding the rise of regional parties in India. Decentralization refers to the transfer of power and resources from the center to the states and local governments. In India, decentralization has been promoted through various constitutional amendments and policy initiatives, empowering regional parties and local governments to play a more significant role in governance. By giving more autonomy to states and local governments, decentralization has enabled regional parties to address regional issues and aspirations more effectively.

Identity politics is another key factor contributing to the rise of regional parties in India. Identity politics refers to the mobilization of support based on shared identities, such as language, culture, and region. Regional parties in India have often capitalized on regional sentiments and issues, mobilizing support and gaining power in various states. By representing regional identities and aspirations, these parties have contributed to the country's governance and policy-making, promoting more inclusive and representative decision-making.

The concept of "regionalism" is also crucial in understanding the influence of regional parties on India's governance. Regionalism refers to the sense of regional identity and loyalty that transcends national boundaries. In India, regionalism has been a powerful force, shaping the country's politics and governance in profound ways. Regional parties have often tapped into regional sentiments and aspirations, mobilizing support and gaining power in various states. By representing regional interests and identities, these parties have contributed to the country's governance and policy-making, promoting more inclusive and localized decision-making.

Theoretical frameworks such as constructivism and institutionalism also provide insights into the rise of regional parties in India. Constructivism emphasizes the role of ideas and identities in shaping political behaviour and institutions. In India, regional parties have often constructed regional identities and narratives, mobilized support and gained power in various states. Institutionalism, on the other hand, highlights the importance of institutions in shaping political behaviour and outcomes. In India, institutions such as the Election Commission and the judiciary have played a crucial role in promoting free and fair elections, enabling regional parties to gain power and influence governance.

Key Regional Parties and Their Influence in India's Governance:

Regional parties in India have traditionally functioned as an important counterweight to centralized power, feeding subnational desires into the national arena and forcing succeeding governments to negotiate federal balances that would otherwise favor uniformity. Their rise from peripheral agitators to key coalition planners illustrates India's democratic

federalism, in which linguistic, cultural, and economic differences are actively shaping governance rather than being passive footnotes. In the 2024 Lok Sabha elections, these parties jointly gained about 45% of the national vote share, a resurgence that fractured the Bharatiya Janata Party's (BJP) majority ambitions and restored the age of pragmatic partnerships after a decade of single-party dominance.

This effect expresses itself not only in electoral arithmetic, but also in policy adjustments ranging from fiscal devolution to foreign policy nuances, ensuring that governance remains sensitive to India's diverse landscape. The Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK) in Tamil Nadu shows this by incorporating Dravidian social justice into central goals, whereas the Telugu Desam Party (TDP) uses Telugu developmentalism to secure infrastructural promises, demonstrating how regional voices federalize national priorities.

Party	State/Base	Founded	Ideology/Key Issues	Electoral Footprint (2024)	Governance Impact
DMK	Tamil Nadu	1949	Dravidian, anti-Hindi, social justice	22/39 LS seats; rules TN assembly	Pushed 13th CAA (1989); influenced Sri Lanka policy; welfare models like Amma Canteens.
TDP	Andhra Pradesh	1982	Telugu development pride,	16/25 LS seats; rules AP	Secured bifurcation package (2014); irrigation projects; NDA kingmaker.
SP	Uttar Pradesh	1992	OBC empowerment, secularism	37/80 LS seats; opposition in UP	Mandal II advocate; influenced UPA's food security; caste census demands.
TMC	West Bengal	1998	Bengali identity, anti-corruption	29/42 LS seats; rules WB	Stalled Teesta deal (2011); MGNREGA enhancements; women's schemes.
JD(U)	Bihar	1994	Social development justice,	12/40 LS seats; rules Bihar coalition	Nitish's turnaround (2005-); liquor ban; NDA-INDIA flips shaped 2024.
BJD	Odisha	1997	Odia nationalism, inclusivity	20/21 LS seats; rules Odisha	Disaster management pioneer (Cyclone Fani); abstained 2024, signaling autonomy.
AAP	Delhi/Punjab	2012	Anti-corruption, welfare	3/7 LS (Delhi); rules Punjab/Delhi	Free utilities model; influenced national health/education debates.

The DMK's trajectory demonstrates how regional identity can influence India's constitutional ethos. Founded in 1949 during anti-Hindi protests, the party compelled the central government to keep English alongside Hindi as an official language in 1965, preserving linguistic federalism and avoiding greater North-South schisms. Its 2024 win of 22 out of 39 Lok Sabha seats in Tamil Nadu cemented its position as a kingmaker in the Indian National Developmental Inclusive Alliance (INDIA) coalition, impacting the opposition's message on social fairness. In coalition stints, such as the 2004-2014 United Progressive Alliance (UPA) era, DMK obtained seven cabinet berths, guiding policies on information technology exemptions for Tamil Nadu and championing state autonomy in accordance with the Rajamannar Committee recommendations. More importantly, the DMK's interventions in foreign affairs—which stalled the 2013 UN resolution on Sri Lankan Tamils due to perceived shortcomings—showcased regional parties' ability to incorporate sub-national concerns into global diplomacy, pressuring New Delhi to prioritize diaspora welfare over unwavering realpolitik.

In terms of governance, the DMK's welfare innovations, such as the Amma Canteens (subsidized meals now replicated nationally), pushed central schemes toward inclusive nutrition under the National Food Security Act, while its 1989 push for the 13th Constitutional Amendment strengthened cooperative federalism by protecting state powers from central overreach. In 2025, as Tamil Nadu navigates post-flood reconstructions, the DMK's requests for increased central funds highlight the organization's role in amplifying the voices of climate-vulnerable states and establishing a more equitable resource allocation framework.

Similarly, the TDP's brand of Telugu pride and growth has consistently shifted government economic planning southward. The party, founded in 1982 under the charismatic leadership of N.T. Rama Rao, defied Congress domination in Andhra Pradesh, winning 30 Lok Sabha seats in 1984 and chairing the National Front in the late 1980s, which briefly dominated the center. Its return in 2024, when it won 16 of 25 Lok Sabha seats and ruled Andhra Pradesh, was critical in sustaining the National Democratic Alliance (NDA) government, preventing a BJP defeat, and extracting guarantees such as the Rs. 15,000 crore financing revival for the Polavaram irrigation project. Under Chandrababu Naidu's leadership, the TDP championed Vision 2020 blueprints, influencing national programs like the Smart Cities Mission by incorporating Hyderabad's Hi-Tech City model into urban administration paradigms. Bifurcation issues in 2014 boosted the TDP's

bargaining power, resulting in the Andhra Pradesh Reorganisation Act's special category status guarantees, which pushed the 15th Finance Commission to increase tax devolution to 41% for states. This has greater rippling effects. The TDP's push for subsidized farmer electricity and industrial packages has influenced the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi plan, which balances regional agrarian demands with national welfare. In 2025, when Andhra faces fiscal shortfalls, the TDP's NDA leadership ensures that irrigation and infrastructure laws prioritize southern growth corridors, alleviating economic inequalities and demonstrating how regional leverage may support inclusive national development.

The Samajwadi Party (SP)'s OBC empowerment ethos has redefined caste-inclusive government in Uttar Pradesh, altering the electoral arithmetic of the Hindi heartland. SP, founded in 1992 on Mulayam Singh Yadav's socialist tradition, swept 37 Lok Sabha seats as opposition in 2024, propelling it to the forefront of the INDIA bloc, intensifying calls for a caste census that might transform quota policy across the country.

Its Mandal II lobbying during the 1990s UPA regime boosted OBC quotas in central posts, influencing the National Commission for Backward Classes' empowerment and infusing social justice into major initiatives like MGNREGA's state-specific modifications for rural employment. During Akhilesh Yadav's 2012-2017 tenure, he pioneered laptop distributions and farmer debt waivers, which influenced the Digital India and Kisan Credit Card expansions, while the SP's secular campaign obstructed communal legislations such as the Citizenship Amendment Act in parliament. The National Food Security Act's fortified grains mandate, which addresses malnutrition in backward castes, is the result of SPs' influence in governance. As panchayat elections approach in 2025, the SP's mobilization of Yadav-Muslim alliances puts pressure on the central to limit inflation, ensuring that welfare budgets target the underprivileged, so strengthening federalism's equality pillar.

The Trinamool Congress (TMC) of West Bengal combines Bengali identity with anti-corruption fury, integrating cultural federalism into national debate. Mamata Banerjee's 1998 splinter from Congress took power in 2011, ending Left dominance, and the TMC's 2024 retention of 29 Lok Sabha seats strengthened its INDIA bloc attitude, vetoing NDA overtures and postponing the Teesta water-sharing agreement with Bangladesh over Ganga riparian concerns. This sub-national veto authority mirrors the TMC's 2011 filibuster of land acquisition laws, which improved the central Land Acquisition Act to protect tribal rights. Welfare initiatives such as Lakshmir Bhandar (women's stipends) and increased MGNREGA funding have influenced the national gender budget, bolstering projects such as Beti Bachao Beti Padhao with state-run models. TMC's disaster management expertise, developed during Cyclone Amphan, inspired the National Disaster Management Authority's community-centric standards, and its victory in the 2021 assembly (48% vote share) demonstrated the acceptability of populist administration. In 2024, amid school recruitment scams, the TMC's quest for educational federalism has the potential to alter the National Education Policy, prioritizing vernacular mediums and regional autonomy, enriching India's diversified learning ecology.

The Janata Dal (United) (JD(U)) in Bihar exemplifies social justice's iterative effect on coalitions, with its shifts between the NDA and INDIA blocs shaping Bihar's—and consequently national—policy vectors. Nitish Kumar's 2005 turnaround reduced poverty by half through road connection and prohibition, which served as templates for the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana's rural focus. JD(U)'s 2024 12 Lok Sabha seats sealed its NDA return, securing ministerial positions and Rs. 1.25 lakh crore packages for Bihar, intensifying demands for special status that put pressure on the 14th Finance Commission's devolution hikes. As a United Front convener in the 1990s, the JD(U) advocated for Mandal reservations, including backward caste quotas into government services and influencing the creamy layer exclusion issue. Its 2022 Mahagathbandhan experience demonstrated secular coalitions' role in reducing sectarian polarization, thereby indirectly bolstering opposition unity. In 2024, the JD(U)'s governance stamp, through liquor bans and education reforms, might refine national alcohol rules and the equity provisions of the New Education Policy, guaranteeing Bihar's developmental delays feed pan-Indian progress.

The Biju Janata Dal (BJD) in Odisha stresses Odia nationalism and inclusion, with abstentionist autonomy indicating a nuanced central engagement. Naveen Patnaik's 1997 election resulted in 24 years in power, including pioneering disaster management during Cyclone Fani (2019), which improved the National Cyclone Risk Mitigation Project's community drills. Despite assembly losses, the BJD's 2024 Lok Sabha seats positioned it as a Third Front fulcrum, with the party opting out of vice-presidential elections to demonstrate its non-alignment. Its secular developmentalism gained Mines Ministry positions in UPA coalitions, integrating Odisha's mineral income into national fiscal federalism through Mines and Minerals Act reforms. The BJD's land patta distributions affected the Forest Rights Act's tribal implementations, and its 2009 NDA exit over Sri Lankan Tamils reflected regional ethical vetoes. Post-2024, the BJD's opposition role might push for cyclone-resistant infrastructure in the national budget, combining Odia resilience with broader climate governance.

Finally, the Aam Aadmi Party's (AAP) anti-corruption welfare populism challenges governance norms by emphasizing service delivery over ideology. Arvind Kejriwal's 2012 emergence as part of the India Against Corruption campaign resulted in Delhi's 2020 62-seat supermajority and Punjab's 2022 control, with free utilities cutting household expenses by 20% and sparking national subsidy debates. The AAP's 2024 three Delhi seats reaffirmed its opposition criticism, influencing health debates through Mohalla Clinics, which contributed into Ayushman Bharat's expansion. Its Jan Lokpal campaign stalled central ombudsman measures, ensuring openness in the Whistleblowers Protection Act, while education budgets (21% in Delhi vs. 13.5% nationally) pressed the National Education Policy for equitable access. In 2024, amid

Delhi's governance tussles, the AAP's swaraj model—decentralized mohalla committees—could change urban local authorities under the 74th Amendment, encouraging participatory federalism.

Findings

1. **Regional Identity as a Federal Force:** The rise of parties like the DMK and TMC demonstrates how linguistic and cultural identities strengthen India's federal structure by compelling the Centre to respect regional languages, traditions, and autonomy within the constitutional framework.
2. **Economic Federalism through Regional Leadership:** The TDP's development-centric politics, including Vision 2020 and the Polavaram project, showcases how regional economic priorities can shape national policies on infrastructure, irrigation, and technology-driven growth.
3. **Social Justice and Caste Empowerment:** The Samajwadi Party's advocacy for OBC representation and support for caste census initiatives have deepened India's social justice discourse, ensuring inclusive representation in welfare and employment policies.
4. **Regional Welfare Models as National Templates:** Innovations such as the DMK's subsidized meal schemes and AAP's Mohalla Clinics have served as prototypes for national welfare programs, demonstrating how regional governance experiments can drive policy replication at the central level.
5. **Coalition Politics and Policy Bargaining:** The participation of regional parties like JD(U), DMK, and TDP in national coalitions has transformed India's policy-making, ensuring greater fiscal devolution and equitable development across states through negotiated federalism.
6. **Cultural and Ethical Federalism in Diplomacy:** The DMK's and BJD's interventions in foreign and ethical issues—such as the Sri Lankan Tamil crisis and tribal rights—reflect the growing ability of regional actors to influence India's external and moral policy priorities.
7. **Governance Innovation and Decentralization:** The AAP's swaraj model and JD(U)'s rural connectivity efforts illustrate how regional parties promote participatory governance, enhancing local accountability and the implementation of the 73rd and 74th Amendments.
8. **Sustaining Democratic Pluralism:** Collectively, regional parties such as the DMK, TMC, SP, BJD, JD(U), and TDP sustain India's democratic vitality by balancing national unity with regional aspirations, ensuring that India's federal democracy remains inclusive, diverse, and participatory.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the trajectories of India's major regional parties—DMK, TDP, SP, TMC, JD(U), BJD, and AAP—collectively illuminate how sub-national forces continuously reshape the contours of India's constitutional democracy, economic federalism, and welfare governance. Each party, rooted in distinctive linguistic, cultural, or social identities, has extended the frontiers of federalism by asserting regional priorities within the national framework. The DMK's linguistic federalism and welfare innovations redefined inclusive governance and influenced foreign policy sensitivity to diaspora issues. The TDP's developmental federalism transformed central economic planning through technological modernization and infrastructural advocacy, exemplifying the South's contribution to India's national growth. The SP's caste-based empowerment reinforced the social justice agenda, institutionalizing backward class inclusion and transforming welfare delivery mechanisms in the Hindi heartland. The TMC's cultural nationalism embedded pluralism and gender equity into national debates, while its environmental and educational stances shaped broader policy directions. Similarly, JD(U)'s social justice legacy and rural developmentalism recalibrated national resource allocation models, whereas the BJD's pragmatic autonomy demonstrated non-alignment's viability in a federal democracy, influencing disaster governance and tribal rights frameworks. The AAP, the newest among them, reimagined governance by prioritizing efficiency, decentralization, and transparency, pressing the Centre to reorient urban and welfare policies toward citizen participation. Together, these regional entities underscore the resilience of Indian federalism—where diverse linguistic, caste, and cultural movements not only coexist but also co-govern. Their evolving roles in coalition politics, welfare policy, and governance models demonstrate that India's democratic vitality lies in balancing regional aspirations with national imperatives. Thus, regional parties are not mere power brokers but vital instruments of participatory democracy, ensuring that India's unity is continually reinforced through diversity, dialogue, and decentralized empowerment.

Reference:

1. Brass, Paul R. *Language, Religion and Politics in North India*. Cambridge UP, 1974.
2. Jaffrelot, Christophe. *India's Silent Revolution: The Rise of the Lower Castes in North India*. Hurst & Company, 2003.
3. Kohli, Atul. *Democracy and Discontent: India's Growing Crisis of Governability*. Cambridge UP, 1990.
4. Kothari, Rajni. *Politics in India*. Orient BlackSwan, 1970.
5. Pai, Sudha. *Developmental State and the Dalit Question in Madhya Pradesh: Congress Response*. Routledge, 2010.
6. Sridharan, Eswaran. *Coalition Politics in India: Selected Issues at the Centre and the States*. Academic Foundation, 2014.



7. Weiner, Myron. *Sons of the Soil: Migration and Ethnic Conflict in India*. Princeton UP, 1978. Ziegfeld, Adam. *Why Regional Parties? Clientelism, Elites, and the Indian Party System*. Cambridge UP, 2016.
8. Baruah, Sanjib. *India Against Itself: Assam and the Politics of Nationality*. U of Pennsylvania P, 1999.
9. Mukherji, Rahul. *Governing India: What Do We Know and Need to Know?*. Oxford UP, 2020.
10. Rudolph, Lloyd I., and Susanne Hoeber Rudolph. *In Pursuit of Lakshmi: The Political Economy of the Indian State*. U of Chicago P, 1987.
11. Mitra, Subrata K. *Politics in India: Structure, Process and Policy*. Routledge, 2017.
12. Tillin, Louise. *Remapping India: New States and Their Political Origins*. Hurst & Company, 2013.
13. Vaishnav, Milan. *When Crime Pays: Money and Muscle in Indian Politics*. Yale UP, 2017.