

Strengthening Privacy Protections in India's Healthcare Landscape: Legal Analysis and Policy Implications

Dr. Keval Govardhan Ukey^{1*}, Mrs. Tanavi Prasad Naik²

^{1*}The author is Associate Professor at School of Law, Sandip University, Nashik

²The author is Ph.D. Scholar at School of Law, Sandip University, Nashik

Abstract

The privacy protection in India's healthcare sector has become a major concern due to the expanding developments in healthcare technology and the increasing digitization of medical records. Focusing on healthcare in particular, this research study offers a thorough analysis of the legislative frameworks governing privacy protection in the Indian setting. After presenting an overview of the legal and constitutional relies of privacy rights in India, which include the Information Technology Act and Article 21 of the Constitution, the paper analyzes the ability of the present legal structures to protect the confidentiality of patients in healthcare settings. It examines significant legislation like the Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act and the Personal Data Protection Bill, examining their provisions and difficulties in bringing them into effect to protect the privacy and security of health-related data.

The article also examines current court rulings and government regulations addressing privacy in the healthcare industry, evaluating their effects on patients, data processors, and healthcare providers. It draws attention to new concerns such the need for data localization, cross-border data transfers, and the significance of consent in the gathering and exchange of health data.

The article examines gaps and lacunae in India's current regulatory landscape, including challenges in identifying sensitive health information and the necessity for strong enforcement mechanisms. It does so by drawing on comparative legal studies and insights from worldwide privacy regimes. It emphasizes how crucial it is to align national laws with international best practices to build confidence in India's healthcare system. It makes policy recommendations for better privacy protections in the Indian health sector considering these observations. Reforms to the laws to bring divergent regulations into harmony, programs to train healthcare workers on privacy compliance, and the advancement of privacy-enhancing technologies to prevent data breaches and illegal access are all included in the proposals.

1. Introduction

The relationship between law and healthcare has gained prominence in recent years, especially when it comes to safeguarding patients' right to privacy in the medical field. Legally speaking, it is critical to guarantee the privacy and security of health-related data since doing so protects people's autonomy and dignity, builds confidence in healthcare institutions, and encourages moral medical behaviour.¹

The notion of healthcare privacy, which is based on essential human rights values, has attracted considerable interest from legislators, regulatory agencies, and policymakers across the globe. Due to changes in healthcare delivery models, advances in AI technology, and rising public awareness of privacy rights, India's legal environment governing healthcare privacy is changing quickly.²

¹ Lawrence O. Gostin, *Health Information Privacy*, 80 Cornell L. Rev. 451 (1995).

² Pritika Rai Advani, *Revisiting Health Data Privacy in India: Time to Move Beyond Consent*, 14 Indian J.L. & Tech. 78 (2018).

At the core of healthcare privacy regulations lies the recognition of privacy as a fundamental human right enshrined in international and domestic legal instruments. In India, Article 21 of the Constitution, which guarantees the right to life and personal liberty, has been interpreted by the judiciary to include the right to privacy, encompassing the protection of personal health information from unauthorized access and disclosure.

Additionally, attempts to build a comprehensive legal framework for controlling the processing of personal data, including health-related data, are being made in the form of legislative efforts like the proposed Personal Data Protection Bill. If this law is passed, it will place strict requirements on data controllers and processors to guarantee accountability, openness, and consent when processing data, improving privacy protections throughout the healthcare system.

The parameters of healthcare privacy laws in India are greatly influenced by judicial rulings, regulatory directives, and legislative developments. Recent court rulings, like the historic Aadhaar ruling, have upheld the fundamental right to privacy and emphasized the significance of shielding people's personal information including health information from misuse and illegal access.

Furthermore, the development of a culture of privacy compliance and accountability within the healthcare industry is greatly aided by capacity-building programs targeted at raising compliance knowledge and encouraging moral behavior among medical practitioners. India may fortify the underpinnings of a rights-based framework for healthcare privacy by equipping healthcare workers with the information, abilities, and tools required to negotiate intricate privacy laws and moral conundrums.

Healthcare privacy is a complex and dynamic legal matter that affects people in many ways, including governments, healthcare providers, and individuals. To better understand the legal environment surrounding healthcare privacy in India, this article will analyze significant legislative frameworks, court rulings, and regulatory directives. Additionally, we will look at how these legal developments may affect privacy rights in the healthcare industry.

2. Constitutional and Statutory Foundations of Privacy Rights: Analysis of Article 21 of the Constitution

Article 21 of the Indian Constitution is one of the most treasured fundamental rights that each citizen is entitled to. In terms of law, judicial rulings have greatly changed how Article 21 is interpreted, particularly about the right to privacy.

The Supreme Court of India, in numerous landmark judgments, has expansively interpreted the scope of Article 21 to include the right to privacy as an intrinsic part of personal liberty. This interpretation gained significant traction in the celebrated case of Justice *K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) vs. Union of India* (2017)³, commonly known as the Aadhaar case. In this instance, the Court upheld the right to informational privacy, which includes the protection of personal data, and acknowledged privacy as a basic right derived from Article 21.

In *State of Punjab v. Ram Lubhaya Bagga* (1998), the Supreme Court held that the right to privacy is implicit in the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution. The court

³ <https://privacylibrary.ccgmlud.org/case/justice-ks-puttaswamy-ors-vs-union-of-india-ors#:~:text=Case%20Brief&text=The%20nine%20Judge%20Bench%20in,of%20dignity%2C%20autonomy%20and%20liberty>. Last assessed on 11th January 2024 at 12:43 pm.

emphasized the importance of protecting individual privacy from unwarranted intrusion by the state or any other entity.

In *Mr. X v. Hospital Z (1998)*⁴ Delhi High Court held that medical records constitute personal information, the disclosure of which without the patient's consent would violate the patient's right to privacy. The court emphasized the duty of confidentiality owed by healthcare providers to their patients.

In addition, the Court has continuously maintained the right to privacy in a variety of situations, including private medical information. It has confirmed that people have a right to privacy over their health information and medical records, which cannot be infringed upon without a valid reason. Essentially, the legal interpretation of Article 21 emphasizes how fundamental the right to privacy is to the larger concept of human liberty. It acts as a constitutional underpinning for privacy protection, requiring state and non-state entities to maintain people's right to privacy, including when it comes to healthcare.

3. Provisions of the Information Technology Act

The Information Technology Act, 2000 (IT Act) and its subsequent amendments constitute the primary legislative framework governing electronic transactions and data protection in India. From a legal perspective, certain provisions of the IT Act are particularly relevant to privacy in the healthcare sector. Entities controlling sensitive personal data, including health-related information, are bound by obligations outlined in Section 43A of the IT Act and the Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011. To prevent such data from being accessed, used, or disclosed by unauthorized parties, these requirements require appropriate security standards and procedures.

The Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits, and Services) Act, 2016 and the IT Act also govern the gathering, storing, and use of biometric and demographic data, particularly in the healthcare industry. These rules, which adhere to the concepts of privacy by design and default, place strict requirements on permission, data minimization, and data security.

The legal effectiveness of these rules pertaining to healthcare privacy has been scrutinized, especially with respect to their suitability in tackling the changing privacy issues of the digital era. There are still concerns about how the IT Act should be harmonized with broader data protection laws, such the proposed Personal Data Protection Bill, to guarantee full privacy protection, particularly in the healthcare industry.

4. Personal Data Protection Bill: Implications for Healthcare

To control the processing of personal data in India, a major legislative effort called the Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (PDP Bill) was introduced. Given the sensitivity and volume of health-related data collected by numerous institutions in the healthcare ecosystem, the PDP Bill has substantial legal implications for the healthcare industry.⁵ The PDP Bill's classification of health information as "sensitive personal data," necessitating stricter security measures, is one of its most important features about healthcare privacy. To ensure the lawful and fair processing of such data and to seek explicit

⁴ <https://privacylibrary.ccgmlud.org/case/mr-x-vs-hospital-z> last assessed on 11th January 2024 at 12:00 pm.

⁵ <https://prsindia.org/billtrack/digital-personal-data-protection-bill-2023#:~:text=The%20Bill%20will%20apply%20to,goods%20or%20services%20in%20India>. Last assessed on 12th January 2024 at 3:24 pm.

agreement from the subjects, the Bill sets strict requirements on data fiduciaries, including healthcare providers and companies processing health data.

Additionally, the PDP Bill presents the idea of data localization by requiring that specific types of personal data, particularly sensitive personal data, be kept solely on Indian servers. By bringing sensitive health data under Indian regulatory control and subjecting it to Indian jurisdiction, this provision seeks to strengthen data sovereignty and improve data protection.

The PDP Bill also gives people new rights, such as the ability to view their health information, request corrections, and, in some cases, request erasure. These rights support people's autonomy over their health information, comply with global privacy standards, and encourage accountability and openness in the handling of healthcare data.

5. Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act⁶: Ensuring Privacy Compliance

The Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act, 2010 (CEA Act) is a law that sets rules for hospitals, clinics, and diagnostic centres in India. It is important because it ensures these places follow certain standards and regulations. One big part of this law is making sure patient privacy is protected. The main goal of the CEA Act is to improve the quality of healthcare services. It does this by making sure these establishments are registered and regulated, and by setting rules to protect patient rights, like privacy and confidentiality.

From a privacy standpoint, the CEA Act puts obligations on clinical establishments regarding how they handle patient information. They must keep patient records safe and private, making sure only authorized people can access them. The Act gives power to authorities like State Governments and District Authorities to make sure clinical establishments follow these rules. They can do inspections, give licenses, and punish those who break the rules, which helps keep clinical establishments accountable for protecting patient privacy.

Besides, the CEA Act works together with other healthcare rules and standards to protect patient privacy. It creates a way for different groups involved in healthcare to work together to keep privacy standards high and build trust with patients. Still, there are challenges in enforcing the Act's privacy rules, especially with more digital health tech and electronic records. Clinical establishments need to stay alert for new privacy risks, like data breaches, and keep adapting to protect patient privacy. The CEA Act is crucial for ensuring patient privacy in healthcare settings by setting rules and providing oversight. Keeping up with these rules and staying vigilant against new risks is key to maintaining trust in India's healthcare system⁷.

6. Judicial and Regulatory Developments

India's healthcare privacy regulations have been greatly influenced by recent court decisions. Particularly, the Aadhaar case recognized privacy as a basic right that includes health data protection. The significance of permission and data security was underscored by the courts, who established guidelines for healthcare privacy such as purpose limitation and data minimization. Additionally, they strengthened data protection in the healthcare industry by upholding doctor-patient confidentiality and enforcing sanctions for privacy violations. Policymakers, healthcare professionals, and regulators can follow these rulings as a guide when protecting individuals' right to privacy.

⁶https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/7798/1/201023_clinical_establishments_%28registration_and_regulation%29_act%2C_2010.pdf assessed on 12th January 2024 at 3:30 pm.

⁷ <https://vidhilegalpolicy.in/research/holding-healthcare-providers-accountable-regulation-of-healthcare-facilities/> last assessed on 9th January 2024 at 9:30 pm.

Healthcare privacy standards are established and ensured to be enforced in practice by regulatory directives issued by government agencies and statutory organizations, in addition to court rulings. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare is one of the main regulatory authorities that monitors healthcare privacy in India. It creates policies and procedures that healthcare providers must follow to comply with privacy requirements. The Ministry has released several guidelines and circulars highlighting the significance of data protection practices, secure medical record preservation, and patient confidentiality.

Legally speaking, patient privacy requirements are established by organizations such as the Medical Council of India (MCI) and the National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Healthcare Providers (NABH). These regulations are upheld by regulatory agencies who are authorized by legislation such as the Clinical Establishments (Registration and Regulation) Act and the Information Technology Act. Resource limitations and changing privacy risks constitute a major challenge. To maintain legal compliance, promote patient privacy rights, and ensure legal compliance, regulatory authorities need to step up their monitoring and modify legislation in response to new and developing difficulties.⁸

7. Emerging Issues in Healthcare Privacy

Data localization mandates refer to regulatory requirements that mandate certain categories of data, including sensitive personal data such as health information, to be stored and processed within the territorial boundaries of a particular jurisdiction, typically the country where the data subjects reside. Data localization requirements raise important legal issues related to healthcare privacy, including jurisdictional scope, data sovereignty, and compliance with international data transfer laws.

With the passage of data protection regulations like the Personal Data Protection Bill, data localization requirements have become more popular in India. Strict guidelines, particularly those pertaining to health, are proposed in the Bill for the localization of sensitive personal data within India. By subjecting personal information to local rules and regulations, proponents of data localization assert that it enhances data security, streamlines regulatory supervision, and safeguards individuals' privacy. Data localization requirements, however, present variety of difficulties and complexities from a legal standpoint, especially about their compliance with global trade agreements and data protection standards. Rigid data localization regulations, according to critics, might prevent cross-border data transfers, stifle innovation, and drive-up compliance costs for internet firms and international healthcare providers.

Regulations for data localization also give rise to concerns regarding legal and jurisdictional issues, particularly when it comes to situations involving international healthcare companies that operate in multiple jurisdictions. The application of a particular legislative framework for the protection of healthcare data may become ambiguous or unclear due to compliance with differing data localization requirements across national borders. It could also unintentionally impede efforts to advance cooperation and interoperability in the healthcare industry by obstructing the smooth transfer of medical records across national boundaries. This could limit the efficacy of international health programs meant to address global health concerns, obstruct access to specialist healthcare services, and inhibit medical research.

In India, data protection legislation like the Personal Data Protection Bill impose regulatory scrutiny on cross-border data transfers. The Bill prohibits the transfer of sensitive personal data, including health information, to countries with insufficient data privacy laws unless specific requirements are

⁸<https://pure.jgu.edu.in/id/eprint/5762/1/Regulation%20of%20Digital%20Healthcare%20in%20India%20Ethical%20and%20Legal%20Challenges.pdf> assessed on 14th December 2023 at 8:02am

satisfied, like getting express consent or putting in place contractual protections. Within the healthcare domain, cross-border data transfers are essential for telemedicine services, medical research, and international collaboration in healthcare delivery. Cross-border data transfers give rise to substantial privacy concerns from a legal standpoint, especially about data protection, jurisdictional conflicts, and regulatory compliance across different jurisdictions. Strong legal frameworks are necessary since the globalization of healthcare data also presents issues with data privacy, consent, and security.

According to Indian law, international agreements like the GDPR must be followed when transferring data across borders in the healthcare industry, especially when it comes to EU citizens or businesses. Legal complications, such as fines and sanctions for healthcare organizations, may arise from noncompliance. However, these transfers provide legal difficulties, particularly for patients and multinational healthcare providers in multiple jurisdictions due to jurisdictional issues and different legislation. Careful evaluation of pertinent laws, treaties, and international agreements controlling data protection and privacy is necessary for establishing the applicable legal framework. Legal complications and privacy issues accompany cross-border data transfers, notwithstanding their significance in fostering innovation and collaboration in healthcare. To overcome these issues, strong regulatory frameworks and international cooperation are necessary. Lawmakers can reduce the privacy hazards connected to international travel.

8. Deficiencies in the Current Regulatory Framework

Ambiguities in the definition of sensitive health data are one of the major shortcomings of India's current statutory framework for healthcare privacy. There is a lack of uniformity and clarity in defining the range and types of data deemed sensitive, even though numerous laws and regulations acknowledge the significance of safeguarding health-related information.

Indian law does not currently provide a clear definition of sensitive health data. The definition of health data is still up for debate, even though certain laws like the Personal Data Protection Bill classify it as sensitive personal information that needs extra protection. This ambiguity poses challenges for healthcare providers, data processors, and regulators in determining the applicability of privacy safeguards and compliance requirements concerning health data.

The lack of clear definitions for sensitive health data makes cross-border data transfers and compliance with regulations like the GDPR complex. This ambiguity hampers healthcare research and collaboration, slowing progress in addressing public health issues. Legislative reforms and regulatory guidance are needed to define sensitive health data clearly, aligning with international privacy standards. This definition should cover genetic data, medical history, and biometrics, adapting to changes in healthcare practices and technology.⁹

The absence of adequate enforcement measures, including difficulties with resource allocation, regulatory monitoring, and enforcement capacity, is another major shortcoming in India's present healthcare privacy legal system. The IT Act, the Clinical Establishments Act, and proposed laws like the Personal Data Protection Bill are just a few of the laws and regulations that India has passed to protect patient privacy. However, the success of these laws' rests on strong enforcement measures that guarantee accountability and compliance. The complexity of healthcare data ecosystems, a lack of resources, and poor training for enforcement staff are some of the difficulties facing the healthcare industry when it comes to enforcing privacy laws. The regulatory bodies responsible for implementing privacy laws frequently lack the necessary resources and know-how to efficiently oversee and manage

⁹ Graham Greenleaf & Rahul Matthan, *Health Privacy in India and the EU: Law and Practice*, 149 Privacy Laws & Business Int'l Rep. 1 (2017).

adherence to the regulations, which results in enforcement gaps and cases of non-compliance that go unnoticed.

Furthermore, aggravating matters for enforcement procedures are the widespread adoption of digital healthcare technologies and the growing digitization of medical records. The swift advancement of technology and the emergence of new privacy threats, like data breaches and illegal access to medical records, may make it difficult for traditional enforcement strategies to stay up to date.

Policymakers must give measures aimed at increasing the capability of regulatory bodies in charge of monitoring healthcare privacy top priority when it comes to allocating resources to solve these shortcomings in enforcement procedures. To improve regulatory supervision and enforcement capacities, this entails improving training programs, making investments in technology-enabled enforcement instruments, and encouraging cooperation with industry stakeholders and civil society organizations. For regulation to be strengthened, healthcare providers and data processors must be encouraged to comply and be accountable. To guarantee respect to privacy standards, this entails educating the public about privacy legislation, providing advice on best practices, and enforcing fines for violations.

9. Policy Recommendations for Strengthening Privacy Protections

Legislative reforms are essential to harmonize regulations governing healthcare privacy in India, addressing inconsistencies, and enhancing protection for individuals' privacy rights. From a legal standpoint, such reforms aim to streamline existing laws, bridge regulatory gaps, and align privacy standards with international best practices.

One of the key priorities for legislative reforms is the enactment of comprehensive data protection legislation, such as the Personal Data Protection Bill, which provides a unified framework for regulating the processing of personal data, including health-related information. Such legislation should establish clear definitions of sensitive health data, specify rights and obligations of data controllers and processors, and delineate mechanisms for enforcement and redressal of privacy violations.

Legislative reforms ought to focus on unifying the various laws and rules that currently govern healthcare privacy, including as the Clinical Establishments Act, the IT Act, and industry-specific guidelines published by regulatory bodies. The goals of harmonization initiatives should be to reduce regulatory overlap, make compliance requirements clear, and guarantee uniform privacy standards throughout the healthcare ecosystem's many sectors. Legislative changes should also place a high priority on incorporating privacy-by-design principles into healthcare procedures and technological advances, including the inclusion of privacy protections in the planning and creation of healthcare services and systems. This means that privacy needs to be included in every step of the data lifecycle, beginning with data collecting and extending through storage, processing, and sharing. Thus, by offering a strong legal foundation, improving regulatory clarity, and promoting transparency and accountability in data processing operations, legislative reforms substantially aid in the growth of healthcare privacy protections in India.¹⁰

Initiatives to increase capacity are crucial for providing healthcare workers with the information, abilities, and tools they need to respect patient privacy and adhere to legal requirements. Legally speaking, capacity-building initiatives seek to raise ethical standards, improve compliance awareness, and lessen the legal consequences connected to privacy violations. Providing training programs and

¹⁰ Rahul Matthan, *Beyond Consent: A New Paradigm for Data Protection in India*, 13 Indian J.L. & Tech. 1 (2017).

educational materials that are specifically designed to meet the needs of healthcare professionals, such as physicians, nurses, and administrative staff, is a crucial component of capacity-building projects. These courses ought to address the duties imposed by applicable privacy laws, best practices for managing private health information, and procedures for guaranteeing patient confidentiality and consent. Initiatives aimed at improving capacity should also concentrate on educating the public about new privacy dangers and the best ways to reduce them, including as cyber-attacks, leaks of information, and illegal access to health information. Health care professionals should have the expertise and tools necessary to recognize privacy happenings and take appropriate action to minimize associated legal risks and damage to their reputation.

Moreover, the significance of professional behaviour and ethical decision-making in healthcare practice, including respect for patient autonomy, confidentiality, and informed consent, should be emphasized in capacity-building initiatives. To ensure compliance with legal and ethical norms, healthcare workers should be trained to handle difficult ethical challenges pertaining to privacy and confidentiality. All things considered, capacity-building programs are essential to enabling medical practitioners to respect patient privacy, reduce legal risks, and foster patient trust and confidence in the healthcare system.¹¹.

In the healthcare industry, privacy-enhancing technologies, or PETs, play a critical role in reducing data risks and strengthening privacy regulations. Legally speaking, encouraging the use of PETs means supporting regulatory compliance, encouraging innovation, and building confidence in data processing operations. Encryption technology research and implementation is a major area of attention for PET promotion since it protects health data at rest and while it's in transit. By preventing unwanted access and maintaining the confidentiality of health information, encryption lowers the possibility of data breaches and privacy violations.¹².

Furthermore, by removing personally identifiable information from sensitive medical data while maintaining its value for study and analysis, anonymization and pseudonymization techniques are essential for safeguarding patient privacy. Adoption of anonymization standards and best practices for anonymizing health data in accordance with privacy legislation should be promoted by legal frameworks. Furthermore, supply chain tracking and the management of electronic health records are two areas where blockchain technology may be able to improve data integrity and transparency in healthcare transactions. Legal frameworks should handle issues with data ownership, liability, and regulatory compliance while promoting the ethical application of blockchain technology in the healthcare industry.¹³.

To construct regulatory frameworks that respect privacy rights, encourage innovation, and build confidence in the healthcare ecosystem, legislators, industry stakeholders, and digital innovators must work together to promote the use of PETs. India may improve privacy safeguards, reduce data risks, and encourage responsible data stewardship in the healthcare industry by properly utilizing PETs.

10. Conclusion

The development of India's healthcare privacy laws is a significant turn in the direction of creating a rights-based system that gives people's right to privacy within the healthcare system top priority. The basic values of autonomy, dignity, and secrecy are emphasized in this framework, which upholds

¹¹ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7308671/> last assessed on 14th January 2024 at 9:00 pm

¹² <https://www.informationpolicycentre.com/uploads/5/7/1/0/57104281/cipl-understanding-pets-and-ppts-dec2023.pdf> last assessed on 11th January 2024 at 10:55 pm.

¹³ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S266660302100021X> last assessed on 14th January 2024 at 8:24 pm

people's rights to manage their own health information and make decisions regarding its use and dissemination. As stated in Article 21 of the Indian Constitution, which protects people's rights to life and personal liberty, including the right to privacy, it demonstrates a dedication to preserving the fundamental values of privacy and personal liberty.¹⁴

Moreover, the emergence of comprehensive data protection legislation, such as the proposed Personal Data Protection Bill, signals a standard shift in regulating the processing of health-related data, imposing stringent obligations on data controllers and processors to ensure transparency, accountability, and consent in data processing activities. This legislative framework aligns with global privacy standards and reinforces India's commitment to protecting individuals' privacy rights in the digital age.¹⁵

Moreover, initiatives to build capacity that provide healthcare workers with the information, abilities, and tools necessary to handle complicated privacy laws and moral conundrums are a proactive step toward promoting a culture of privacy accountability and compliance in the healthcare industry. Capacity-building efforts reinforce the foundations of a rights-based framework for healthcare privacy by promoting ethical conduct and raising compliance awareness. This helps to foster trust and confidence between patients and healthcare professionals.

Additionally, there are opportunities to reduce data risks, enhance data security, and enforce privacy standards in healthcare data processing operations through the advancement of privacy-enhancing technologies like encryption, and anonymization. India can effectively tackle increasing privacy concerns and cultivate trust in the healthcare ecosystem by carefully and ethically utilizing technological breakthroughs. This would clear the path for a rights-based approach to healthcare privacy.

References:

1. Sharona Hoffman & Andy Podgurski, *The Use and Misuse of Biomedical Data: Is Bigger Really Better?*, 22 *Mich. Telecomm. & Tech. L. Rev.* 639 (2016).
2. Deven R. Desai & Joshua A. Kroll, *Trust but Verify: A Guide to Algorithms and the Law*, 31 *Harv. J.L. & Tech.* 1 (2017).
3. Nehaa Chaudhari & Smriti Parsheera, *Privacy and the Indian Digital Health Ecosystem: A Legal-Policy Primer*, 17 *Indian J.L. & Tech.* 1 (2021).
4. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-191, 110 Stat. 1936.
5. Usha Ramanathan, *Data Protection and the Citizen*, 50(22) *Econ. & Pol. Wkly.* 33 (2015).
6. Indira Sen & Sayan Bhattacharya, *Data Governance in Digital Health: Analysing the ABDM through a Privacy Lens*, 57(41) *Econ. & Pol. Wkly.* 50 (2022).
7. Lawrence O. Gostin, *Health Information Privacy*, 80 *Cornell L. Rev.* 451 (1995).
8. Pritika Rai Advani, *Revisiting Health Data Privacy in India: Time to Move Beyond Consent*, 14 *Indian J.L. & Tech.* 78 (2018).
9. Graham Greenleaf & Rahul Matthan, *Health Privacy in India and the EU: Law and Practice*, 149 *Privacy Laws & Business Int'l Rep.* 1 (2017).

¹⁴ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1.

¹⁵ Justice B.N. Srikrishna Comm., *A Free and Fair Digital Economy: Protecting Privacy, Empowering Indians* (MeitY, Govt. of India 2018).