

# Mathematical Study Of Waiting Time Of Service In Four Server Hierarchical Structured Feedback Queuing System With Revisit At Most Once To Any Of The Servers

Surender Kumar<sup>1\*</sup> and Nidhi Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*,2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, G.B.D.C, Rohtak  
 E-mail: <sup>1</sup>surender210882@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>nidhishrm247@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The present paper deals with the study of mathematical and graphical study of waiting time of service of a customer. The queuing system has four servers for the service of customers in hierarchical order. A customer, after getting service from a server may leave the system or may go for further service to the higher order server depending upon the need of service but cannot go for service more than two times.

The arrival and service pattern are assumed to follow the Poisson process. The waiting time of customer for the service has been calculated from the mean queue length obtained by using generating function technique.

**Keywords:** Feedback, Queuing System, Poisson Process, Four Server, Waiting Time.

**1. Introduction** In the Queueing theory we deal with the study of optimizing the waiting time of service. Generally, queues are formed when the supply of service does not balance with the demand of service. We face queueing problem everywhere in our day-to-day life such as in the banks, post offices, hospitals, airports, highway tolls etc. Many authors did a lot of work on queueing theory. The waiting-time distribution for a finite-space, single-server queueing system, in which customers arrive singly following a Poisson process and the server operates under (a, b)-bulk service rule have analysed. The waiting-time distribution (in the queue) of a random customer is derived using the functional relation between the probability generating function (pgf) for the queue-length distribution and the Laplace-Stieltjes transform (LST) of the queueing-time distribution for a random customer<sup>(1)</sup>. But there are possibilities of queueing systems having more than one servers. A queueing system consisting of two multi-server subsystems is studied which is designed for the service of clients arriving at a system according to a Markovian arrival process (MAP). Arriving clients receive information about the number of clients present in both subsystems and use this information to make a randomized decision to balk (depart without receiving service) or join the system. If some server in that subsystem is idle during this epoch, the client immediately leaves the buffer where it has been staying and starts a service in the alternative subsystem<sup>(2)</sup>. The Markovian model's quality control policy was studied using an iterative method for the nth customer in the queueing system. A performance measure can be derived for the expected number of units in the system, as well as in the queue and the average number of occupied services and the expected waiting time in the system, as well as in the queue<sup>(3)</sup>. To assess the service efficiency of Ahamadu Bello University Teaching Hospital in Zaria, Kaduna State, an analysis was performed using multiple server queueing models. Primary data was collected through observation and questionnaire methods at the hospital over a two-week period to determine the queueing model that minimizes patients waiting time. Regression analysis showed that all the dimensions of service quality have significant positive relationship with the patient's satisfaction<sup>(4)</sup>. In a queueing system, the Flexible General Bulk Service rule is a threshold that can decrease and regulate the waiting time of customers in any type of bulk service. Future research should not emphasize limited admissibility, service interruptions, or setup time concepts<sup>(5)</sup>. A simple service resetting mechanism can reverse the deleterious effects of large fluctuations in service times, thus turning a marked drawback into a favorable advantage. This happens when stochastic fluctuations are intrinsic to the server, and we show that service resetting can then dramatically cut down average queue lengths and waiting times. These results are illustrated on the M/G/1 queue in which service times are general and arrivals are assumed to be Markovian<sup>(6)</sup>. The waiting time in a queueing system with five parallel servers linked centrally with a common sixth server in the series has been derived<sup>(7)</sup>. A queueing model has been developed for a system having three servers wherein a customer may revisit any of the servers. The visit of the customer is limited to maximum twice. A customer may require the services of one or all the servers. The first server is centrally linked with the other two parallel servers. The customer can enter the system at first server from outside. After getting the service from any of the servers, the customer may revisit to any other server or may leave the system at any stage depending upon his/her satisfaction. The waiting time of the customer is derived from the mean queue length of the system<sup>(8)</sup>. The waiting time of a customer for service in the queueing system with three service channels in hierarchy is analyzed wherein a customer after getting the service from first server may leave the system or move to the second server according as he/she is satisfied with service. However, the customer after getting the service from second or third server may move to any server or may leave the system. Revisit Customers are allowed to request service from any of the servers only once<sup>(9)</sup>. Mean queue length of a four-server hierarchical structured queueing system with feedback and revisit of customer at most once to any of the servers increases and decreases with respect to different parameters<sup>(10)</sup>. The above mentioned researchers have worked on waiting time of customers considering different aspects but none of them considered the fact

of probability of going of customer from a server of the system having four servers in hierarchy. They also did not assume the probability and frequency of revisit of customer according to her/his need and satisfaction. In the present study, we have derived the waiting time of the customer from the mean queue length of the system by using the Little's formula. The arrival and departing customers behaviour follows the Poisson and exponential distribution respective. Also, we have considered the probability and frequency of revisit of a customer. She/ he may revisit the server at most once with different probability each time. Results of variation in waiting time have been found with respect to different arrival and service rates and probabilities by taking different values of other parameters.

## 2. Notations

$\lambda$ : Mean Arrival rate at 1<sup>st</sup> server ( $S_1$ )

$\mu_1$ : service rate of 1<sup>st</sup> server ( $S_1$ )

$\mu_2$ : service rate of 2<sup>nd</sup> server.

$\mu_3$ : service rate of 3<sup>rd</sup> server.

$\mu_4$ : service rate of 4<sup>th</sup> server

$a_1$ : the probability of customer leaving 1<sup>st</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$a_2$ : the probability of customer leaving 1<sup>st</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$b_1$ : the probability of customer leaving 2<sup>nd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$b_2$ : the probability of customer leaving 2<sup>nd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$c_1$ : the probability of customer leaving 3<sup>rd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$c_2$ : the probability of customer leaving 3<sup>rd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$d_1$ : the probability of customer leaving 4<sup>th</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$d_2$ : the probability of customer leaving 4<sup>th</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{12}$ : the probability of customer going from 1<sup>st</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_1$ : the probability of exit of customer from 1<sup>st</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{12}'$ : the probability of customer going from 1<sup>st</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_1'$ : the probability of exit of customer from 1<sup>st</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_2$ : the probability of exit of customer from 2<sup>nd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{23}$ : the probability of customer going from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{21}$ : the probability of customer going from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_2'$ : the probability of exit of customer from 2<sup>nd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{23}'$ : the probability of customer going from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{21}'$ : the probability of customer going from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_3$ : the probability of exit of customer from 3<sup>rd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{31}$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{32}$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{34}$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time

$p_3'$ : the probability of exit of customer from 3<sup>rd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{31}'$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{32}'$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{34}'$ : the probability of customer going from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_4$ : the probability of exit of customer from 4<sup>th</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_4'$ : the probability of exit of customer from 4<sup>th</sup> server 2<sup>nd</sup> time.

$p_{41}$ : the probability of exit of customer from 4<sup>th</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{42}$ : the probability of customer going from 4<sup>th</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

$p_{43}$ : the probability of customer going from 4<sup>th</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> server 1<sup>st</sup> time.

According to the model hypothesis we have:

$$a_1 p_1 + a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_1' + a_2 p_{12}' = 1$$

$$b_1 p_2 + b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{21} + b_2 p_2' + b_2 p_{23}' = 1;$$

$$c_1 p_3 + c_1 p_{31} + c_1 p_{32} + c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_3' + c_2 p_{31}' + c_2 p_{32}' + c_2 p_{34}' = 1;$$

$$d_1 p_4 + d_1 p_{41} + d_1 p_{42} + d_1 p_{43} + d_2 p_4' = 1.$$

### 3. Methodology

The mean queue length obtained in ref. (10) is given by:

$$Lq = -\frac{\lambda}{A} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left[ 1 - (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{43} - (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{32}) - (b_1 p_{23}' + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{42} \right] \\ & + (a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') [1 - (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{43}] + \frac{(a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}')}{[\mu_3 - d_1 p_{43} \mu_4 - (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') \mu_2]} \\ & + \frac{(a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}')}{[\mu_4 - \mu_3 (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}')] } \end{aligned} \right]$$

Thus the waiting time of customer for the service in the whole queueing system is:

$$W = -\frac{1}{A} \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left[ 1 - (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{43} - (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{32}) - (b_1 p_{23}' + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{42} \right] \\ & + (a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') [1 - (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{43}] + \frac{(a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}')}{[\mu_3 - d_1 p_{43} \mu_4 - (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') \mu_2]} \\ & + \frac{(a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}') (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}')}{[\mu_4 - \mu_3 (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}')] } \end{aligned} \right]$$

where  $A = [(b_1 p_{21}) (a_1 p_{12}' + a_2 p_{12}') - 1] (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') (d_1 p_{43} + 1) + (b_1 p_{23} + b_2 p_{23}')$

$[c_1 p_{31} - (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{41} (a_1 p_{12} + a_2 p_{12}') + (c_1 p_{34} + c_2 p_{34}') d_1 p_{42}]$

### 4. Results and Discussion

**4.1. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $p_2$  (the probability of leaving the system first time from second server) for different values of  $p_3$  (probability of leaving the system from third server first time) is depicted in Table 1 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 1.** W with respect to  $p_2$  for different values of  $p_3$

$\lambda=2, \mu_1 = 10, \mu_2 = 7, \mu_3 = 9, \mu_4=8, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_1=0.7, p_{12}=0.3, p_{12}'=0.4, p_{12}''=0.6, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p_3'=7, p_{34}'=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p_4'=1$			
	$p_3=0.3$	$p_3=0.4$	$p_3=0.45$
$p_2$	W	W	W
0.3	3.82978093	11.21512736	22.18906615
0.325	3.820670698	11.23123064	22.35192764
0.35	3.811606405	11.24739183	22.51724962
0.375	3.802587754	11.26361126	22.68508799
0.4	3.79361445	11.27988928	22.85550036
0.425	3.784686201	11.29622623	23.02854612
0.45	3.775802719	11.31262244	23.20428648
0.475	3.766963718	11.32907828	23.38278456
0.5	3.758168914	11.34559408	23.56410549
0.525	3.74941803	11.3621702	23.74831643
0.55	3.740710786	11.37880699	23.9354867

Following can be interpreted from **Table 1**:

- Waiting time decreases with the increase in the probability  $p_2$  for the probability  $p_3 \leq 0.3$  and increases for  $p_3 > 0.3$ .
- Waiting time increases with the increase in the probability  $p_3$ .

**4.2. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $p_1$  (the probability of leaving the system from first server first time) for different values of  $p'_1$  (probability of leaving the system from first server second time) is depicted in Table 2 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 2.** W with respect to  $p_1$  for different values of  $p'_1$

$\lambda=2, \mu_1=10, \mu_2=7, \mu_3=9, \mu_4=8, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_2=0.3, p_{21}=0.2, p_{23}=0.5, p'_2=0.8, p'_{23}=0.2, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p'_3=7, p'_{34}=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p'_4=1$			
$p_1$	$p'_1=0.4$	$p'_1=0.6$	$p'_1=0.8$
	W	W	W
0.1	4.280994091	3.90563365	3.531853017
0.15	4.240352722	3.865126594	3.491479505
0.2	4.199721901	3.82463003	3.451116428
0.25	4.159101626	3.784143954	3.410763782
0.3	4.118491892	3.743668362	3.370421564
0.35	4.077892694	3.703203249	3.330089769
0.4	4.037304029	3.662748613	3.289768394
0.45	3.996725893	3.622304448	3.249457434
0.5	3.956158281	3.58187075	3.209156884
0.55	3.915601189	3.541447516	3.168866743
0.6	3.875054614	3.501034742	3.128587004

Following can be interpreted from **Table 2**:

Waiting time decreases with the increase in the probability  $p_1$  as well as for  $p'_1$ .

**4.3. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $p_1$  (the probability of leaving the system from first server first time) for different values of  $\mu_2$  (service rate of second server) is depicted in Table 3 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 3.** W with respect to  $p_1$  for different values of  $\mu_2$

$\lambda=2, \mu_1=10, \mu_2=7, \mu_3=9, \mu_4=8, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_1=0.4, p'_{12}=0.6, p_2=0.3, p_{21}=0.2, p_{23}=0.5, p'_2=0.8, p'_{23}=0.2, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p'_3=7, p'_{34}=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p'_4=1$			
$p_1$	$\mu_2=7$	$\mu_2=14$	$\mu_2=21$
	W	W	W
0.2	4.199721901	4.220599547	4.262419878
0.25	4.159101626	4.179502132	4.220366697
0.3	4.118491892	4.138415382	4.17832443
0.35	4.077892694	4.097339293	4.136293071
0.4	4.037304029	4.056273859	4.094272616
0.45	3.996725893	4.015219078	4.05226306
0.5	3.956158281	3.974174945	4.010264401
0.55	3.915601189	3.933141456	3.968276633
0.6	3.875054614	3.892118607	3.926299753
0.65	3.834518551	3.851106394	3.884333755
0.7	3.793992996	3.810104812	3.842378636

Following can be interpreted from **Table 3**:

- (i) Waiting time decreases with the increase in the probability  $p_1$ .
- (ii) Waiting time increases with the increase in the service rate of second server i. e.  $\mu_2$ .

**4.4. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $\mu_1$  (the service rate of first server) for different values of  $\mu_4$  (service rate of fourth server) is depicted in Table 4 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 4.** W with respect to  $\mu_1$  for different values of  $\mu_4$

$\lambda=2, \mu_2=7, \mu_3=9, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_1=0.7, p_{12}=0.3, p_1'=0.4, p_{12}'=0.6, p_2=0.3, p_{21}=0.2, p_{23}=0.5, p_2'=0.8, p_{23}'=0.2, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p_3'=7, p_{34}'=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p_4'=1$			
$\mu_1$	$\mu_4=8$ W	$\mu_4=9$ W	$\mu_4=10$ W
1	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
2	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
3	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
4	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
5	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
6	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
7	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
8	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
9	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
10	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
11	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773

Following can be interpreted from **Table 4**:

- (i) Waiting time remains constant with the increase in service rate of first server i.e.  $\mu_1$ .
- (ii) Waiting time decreases with the increase in service rate of the fourth server i.e.  $\mu_4$ .

**4.5. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $\mu_3$  (the service rate of third server) for different values of  $\mu_4$  (service rate of fourth server) is depicted in Table 5 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 5.** W with respect to  $\mu_3$  for different values of  $\mu_4$

$\lambda=2, \mu_1=9, \mu_2=7, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_1=0.7, p_{12}=0.3, p_1'=0.4, p_{12}'=0.6, p_2=0.3, p_{21}=0.2, p_{23}=0.5, p_2'=0.8, p_{23}'=0.2, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p_3'=7, p_{34}'=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p_4'=1$			
$\mu_3$	$\mu_4=8$ W	$\mu_4=9$ W	$\mu_4=10$ W
4	3.959245393	3.978016645	4.001053484
5	3.870501003	3.87590416	3.88233696
6	3.833868446	3.83576387	3.838264163
7	3.814118013	3.814497349	3.81541612
8	3.801987014	3.801479827	3.801548997
9	3.793992996	3.792830525	3.792335773
10	3.788551015	3.786802579	3.785862078
11	3.78485814	3.782502688	3.781154812
12	3.782493609	3.779437564	3.777671993
13	3.781258962	3.777326781	3.775093775
14	3.78112022	3.776018789	3.773226668

Following can be interpreted from **Table 5**:

- (i) Waiting time decreases with the increase in service rate of third server i.e.  $\mu_3$ .
- (ii) Waiting time increases with the increase in service rate of the fourth server i.e.  $\mu_4$ .

**4.6. Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to  $\mu_4$  (the service rate of fourth server) for different values of  $\mu_2$  (service rate of second server) is depicted in Table 6 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed.**

**Table 6.** W with respect to  $\mu_4$  for different values of  $\mu_2$

$\lambda=2, \mu_1=9, \mu_3=7, a_1=0.3, a_2=0.7, b_1=0.1, b_2=0.9, c_1=0.8, c_2=0.2, d_1=0.6, d_2=0.4, p_1=0.7, p_{12}=0.3, p'_1=0.4, p'_{12}=0.6, p_2=0.3, p_{21}=0.2, p_{23}=0.5, p'_{22}=0.8, p'_{23}=0.2, p_3=0.3, p_{31}=0.2, p_{32}=0.1, p_{34}=0.4, p_3=7, p'_{34}=0.3, p_4=0.5, p_{41}=0.1, p_{42}=0.2, p_{43}=0.2, p'_4=1$			
$\mu_4$	$\mu_2=8$ W	$\mu_2=9$ W	$\mu_2=10$ W
1	3.797488641	3.797044506	3.797076662
2	3.799689003	3.799340653	3.799474987
3	3.802078555	3.801838755	3.802089208
4	3.804682793	3.804566682	3.804949852
5	3.807532011	3.807557679	3.808093484
6	3.810662482	3.81085173	3.811564281
7	3.814118013	3.814497349	3.81541612
8	3.817952007	3.818553994	3.819715396
9	3.822230242	3.82309533	3.824544876
10	3.827034678	3.828213741	3.830009089
11	3.832468754	3.834026685	3.836241967

Following can be interpreted from **Table 6**:

- (i) Waiting time increases with the increase in service rate of fourth server i.e.  $\mu_4$ .
- (ii) Waiting time decreases for  $\mu_2 \leq 9$  and increases for  $\mu_2 > 9$ .

## 5. Conclusion

Behaviour of waiting time (W) of customer in the system with respect to different rates and probabilities depicted in the tables from 1 to 6 keeping the values of other parameters as fixed is according to the following table:

Result From	Result With Respect to	Result of Waiting Time
<b>Table 1</b>	Increase in probability $p_2$ for $p_3 > 0.3$	Increase
	Increase in probability $p_2$ for the probability $p_3 \leq 0.3$	Decrease
	increase in the probability $p_3$	Increase
<b>Table 2</b>	increase in the probability $p_1$	Increase
	increase in the probability $p'_1$	Increase
<b>Table 3</b>	increase in the probability $p_1$	Decreases
	increase in the service rate of second server i. e. $\mu_2$	Increases
<b>Table 4</b>	increase in service rate of first server i.e. $\mu_1$ .	Constant
	increase in service rate of the fourth server i.e. $\mu_4$ .	Decreases
<b>Table 5</b>	increase in service rate of third server i.e. $\mu_3$	Decreases
	increase in service rate of the fourth server i.e. $\mu_4$ .	Increases
<b>Table 6</b>	increase in service rate of fourth server i.e. $\mu_4$	Increases
	Upto $\mu_2 \leq 9$	Decreases
	for $\mu_2 > 9$	Increases

## Reference

- (1) Chaudhry M et al. A Novel Computational Procedure for the Waiting-Time Distribution (In the Queue) for Bulk-Service Finite-Buffer Queues with Poisson Input; Mathematics. 2023; 11, 1142. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11051142>.
- (2) Dudin S A, Dudina O S and Kostyukova O I. Analysis of a Queuing System with Possibility of Waiting Customers Jockeying between Two Groups of Servers; Mathematics. 2023; 11, 1475. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11061475>.
- (3) Khan I E and Paramasivam R. Reduction in Waiting Time in an M/M/1/N Encouraged Arrival Queue with Feedback, Balking and Maintaining of Reneged Customers; Symmetry. 2022; 14, 1743. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14081743>.
- (4) Adeniran A M, Burodo M S and Suleiman S. Application of Queuing Theory and Management of Waiting Time Using Multiple Server Model: Empirical Evidence From Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria,





- Kaduna State, Nigeria; International Journal of Scientific and Management Research . 2022; 5(4), 159-174, <http://doi.org/10.37502/IJSMR.2022.5412>.
- (5) Deena M C K and Haridass M. Analysis of Flexible Batch Service Queueing System to Constrict Waiting Time of Customers; Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3601085>.
  - (6) Bonomo O L, Pal A and Reuveni S. Mitigating Long Queues and Waiting Times With Service Resetting; PNAS Nexus. 2022; 1, 1-15, <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac070>.
  - (7) Kumari S. Waiting Time Analysis of Queueing System Having Combination of Six Servers Centrally Linked With a Common Server; International Journal of Innovations & Research Analysis . 2022; 2(2II), 233-237.
  - (8) Datt K, Kumar S, and Bhatia V. Mathematical Analysis of Waiting Time in a Feedback Queueing Model With Three Servers and Chances of Revisits of Customer Atmost Once to Any Server; International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts . 2022; 10(1), f590-f596.
  - (9) Datt K, Kumar S, and Bhatia V. Waiting Time Analysis of an Hierarchical Structured Queueing System with Feedback and Revisit of Customer at Most Once to Any of the Servers ; International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews. 2022; 9(2), 778-783.
  - (10) Kamal. Mathematical modeling of some feedback queueing systems. Ph. D. Thesis. Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Haryana. 2023.