

Assessment of the Effect of Aqueous Ozone Treatment on the Sensory Attributes of Retail Meat in the Iraqi Wasit Governorate

Fatten Ahmad Mohammed ¹, Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan ^{2*}, and Ahmad M. Tarek ³

¹ Office of agricultural researches/Iraq

² Agriculture Department/ Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Baghdad/ Iraq.

³ Department of Crime evidence, Institute of Medical Technology Al-Mansour, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq.

*Corresponding author email: manalhadi73@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Meat is highly vulnerable to pathogens as well as it is very sensitive to contamination during handling. Therefore, in order to provide a safe product to the consumer, these meats must be sterilized. This study aimed to investigate the effect of ozone treatment (0.5 ppm/ 20 minutes) at 4 °C on the sensory attributes of untreated and treated retail meat samples. A total of 50 samples of retail meat encompassing [chicken quarters (n=30) and cattle meat (n= 20)], were obtained at random from different markets of Wasit governorate. An ozone generator (A₂Z/AQUA-6, USA) was used to produce ozone, CHE-Mets[®]-Kit, USA, was used to assess its concentration (ppm) in water. Ozone treated samples revealed an enhancement in the meat quality characteristics including surface color, odor and consumer acceptability as compared to control. Our results suggested that ozone treatment could be used as the foundation for a new and healthy method of sanitizing meat and meat products at slaughterhouses, as well as before cooking in homes and restaurants.

Keywords: Aqueous ozone, consumer acceptability, retail meat, sensory attributes.

INTRODUCTION

Meat ranks among one of the most significant, nutritious and energy-rich natural food product, utilized by the humans to fulfill their regular body requirements (Pereira and Vicente, 2013). Despite high nutritional value of meat, it ranks among the high perishable food material, as it contain around more than 70% of moisture in it. Apart from reduction in shelf life, its presence imparts a strong impact on the color, texture and flavor of muscle tissues of meat (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018). In addition to the high perishability of meat, these products have a high susceptibility to contamination with various contaminants, some of which are classified as superbug foodborne pathogens (Ghaffoori, 2017; Kanaan and

Khashan, 2018; Kanaan, 2018; Kanaan and Al-Isawi, 2019; Kanaan and Abdulwahid, 2019; Kanaan and Abdullah, 2019; Kanaan and Mohammed, 2020; Kanaan *et al.*, 2020; Kanaan, 2021). So in order to eliminate/reduce contamination with extend the shelf life of meat and meat products, various processing techniques as well as storage treatments have been developed (Kim and Day, 2007). Chlorine and its compounds are considered one of the most effective sterilizers used in poultry processing factories, and due to the dangers of chlorine residues in poultry meat, food industry researchers have worked to develop alternative sterilization methods that are more efficient, safer for consumers and the environment, and do not threaten the meat's organoleptic qualities (Kanaan, 2018). Ozone (O₃) is used to extend the shelf life of foods to ensure food protection, as well as for water disinfection, waste disposal, and pesticide residue destruction (Guzel-Syidin *et al.*, 2004). Ozone is listed as a secondary direct food additive/processing aid permissible for all meat and poultry products, according to FDA guidelines on additives and sources of radiation used to reduce microorganisms on carcasses, ground beef, and beef trimmings (FDA 2001). Because of ozone's high reactivity, predicting its reaction in the presence of organic matter is difficult. According to other researchers, ozone can oxidize or ionize a substrate or spontaneously decompose into oxygen and free radicals (Manousaridis *et al.*, 2005). Given the insufficiency of information on the application of ozone to meat products in the literature, the aim of this study was to explore how ozone treatments (0.5 ppm) at 4°C affected the quality characteristics of treated retail meat, as measured by sensory attributes and acceptability degree.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval

There was no requirement for such approval because the meat samples were collected from the marketplaces.

Samples collection

Fifty retail meat samples encompassing [chicken quarters (n=30) and cattle meat (n=20)], with average weight 300 gm /sample were collected randomly from different markets of Wasit province. The Samples were packed separately in sterile polyethylene bag and kept in ice box and transferred within 2-3 hrs to the laboratory and kept in a deep freezer at -18 °C for further analysis.

Calculate the amount of O₃ generated (ppm/in water)

Using the O₃ CHE-Mets[®]-Kit and a technique developed by Kanaan and Abdullah, the O₃ concentration (ppm) in water provided by the O₃ generator (A2Z/AQUA-6 Specifications) was measured (Kanaan and Abdullah, 2021). In brief, a plastic tub was filled with 1.5 liters of tap water and sealed with its lid. Through a hole in the cover, the aeration stone was injected into the bottle. Five touch times (exposure times) were selected (5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 min). The tap water was changed after each exposure period, and the container was flushed with fresh tap water, and the process was repeated. The aqueous ozone was filled to the 25 ml mark in the empty sample cup, the CHEMet ampoule tip was placed into the cup, and the tip of the ampoule was broken and occupied by the aqueous ozone, then it has been reversed several times and waited a minute for the color to change. The ampoule was positioned between the color standards before a wide range comparator could fit the best color (Kanaan and Abdullah, 2021). The maximum concentration was obtained at 15, 20, and 30 min, which was 0.5 ppm/in water, out of the five times used.

Processing of meat samples

The samples were thawed in a refrigerator at 4°C overnight, each sample was divided in to two halves the 1st half was treated with O₃ (0.5 ppm /20 min) at 4°C, while left the 2nd half as a control. Both halves (treated and control) were placed into uncovered roasting bag, then cooked separately with slightly salt with no spices into a microwave oven at temperature of 175 °C for 30 min, and left to cool for 30 minutes before subjected to panel test. The panel test comprised five experienced tasters who determined the overall sensory attributes (surface color, odor and acceptability percentage) before and after cooking. Then cooked samples (treated and control) were kept in a refrigerator overnight at 4 °C. Taste panel after cooking (flavor) was done to evaluate the acceptability degree of cooked meat. Control and handled samples were classified as acceptable (+), preferred (++) or highly preferred (+++) by five panelists, as defined previously by Graham *et al.*, 2002; with some modification by the authors.

Statistics

MedCalc Software bvba version 18 (BE, USA) was used to analyze the data. The proportion was utilized as a descriptive statistic. To compare significance between

percentages before and after treatment with aqueous ozone, two samples Chi square between percents were employed ([https:// www.medcalc.org/](https://www.medcalc.org/)).

RESULTS

The effect O₃ treatment on the quality characteristics (surface color) of retail meat was presented in Table 1. Our results showed that , of the 150, and 100 observations for chicken and cattle meat, respectively 18/120 (15%) and 11/100 (11%) observed that there was a change in the surface color to bright white. Whereas 132/150 (88%) and 89/ 100 (89%) observed no changes in the surface color (light brown) after handling with O₃ (0.5 ppm /20 minutes) at 4°C compared to 0% observed no changes in the surface color (light brown) for control samples before treatment.

Statistically, there is a high significant effect ($p < 0.005$) of O₃ treatment on the quality characteristics in terms of changing the surface color of the treated chicken and cattle meat, respectively to a bright white ($\chi^2 = 19.085$, $p < 0.0001$; $\chi^2 = 11.582$, $p = 0.0007$).

Moreover, the results also revealed that 27/60 (45%) and 25/100 (25%) of the treated chicken and cattle meat, respectively observed that there was a change in the odor (no rancidity). Whereas 123/150 (82%) and 75/100 (75%) of the treated chicken and cattle meat, respectively observed that there was no change in the odor (rancid) after treatment compared to 7/60 (11.7%) and 26/100 (26%) of chicken and cattle meat, respectively observed that there was a change in odor (no rancidity) and 143/150 (95.3%) and 74/100 (74%) observed no change in the odor (rancid) before treatment with ozonated water (Table 2). Statistically, there is a high significant effect ($p < 0.005$) of O₃ treatment on the qualitative characteristics in terms of changing the odor of treated chicken meat (no rancidity), ($\chi^2 = 13.141$, $p = 0.0003$). On the other hand, there is no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) of treatment on the changing of the odor of treated cattle meat ($\chi^2 = 0.26$, $p = 0.8714$).

Acceptability degree of control and treated meat samples with O₃ (0.5 ppm/ 20 min) at 4 °C then cooked for 30 minutes in microwave oven at 175°C for 30 min were further evaluated and the results were presented in Table 3. The overall acceptability degree (%) of the meat samples were scaled as acceptable (+) , preferred (++) and highly preferred (+++). The results showed that of the 150 and 100 observations for chicken and cattle meat, respectively the acceptability degree (%) were (86.7%, 98%) highly preferred, and (33.3%, 5%) preferred after

treatment with O₃ compared to (92%) preferred and (20%, 13.3%) acceptable for control chicken and cattle meat, respectively (Table 3). Statistically, there is a high significant effect of O₃ treatment on the degree of acceptance of the treated chicken and cattle meat, respectively in terms of an improvement in the degree of preference from preferred to highly preferred ($\chi^2 = 78.866$, $p < 0.0001$; $\chi^2 = 97.326$, $p < 0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

Sensory analysis is one of the oldest means of quality control, but in principle is an essential part of the mandatory assessment of food quality allowing manufacturers to identify, understand and respond to consumer preferences more effectively. For buyers, colour, texture, smell, overall outlook are the initial preference criteria when purchasing a raw chicken product (Liu *et al.*, 2004; Fanatic *et al.*, 2007; Castellini *et al.*, 2008 ; Saha *et al.*, 2009 ; Adeyemo and Sani , 2013).

In this study the changes in surface color (Table 1), might be attributed to oxidation of the pigments by O₃, O₃ reacts with molecules responsible for pigmentation breaks the functional groups which causes the discoloration of color to bright white (Bekhit *et al.*, 2013). Ozone is widely used for treatment of drinking water to improve taste, odor and color as disinfection and biodegradability of impurities (Kim *et al.* , 1999). In this study improvement of odor and taste could be attributed to oxidizing power of O₃. Ozone has the ability to quickly react with aromatic compounds and oxidize low-molecular structures, such as soluble microbial products (SMPs) and other aromatic proteins (APs), improving odor and taste (Wang and Chen, 2014).

Generally speaking, the results of this study were in agreement with the results obtained by Trindade *et al.*(2012) who investigated the effects of two treatments (chlorine and O₃) in chicken immersion chilling water as 1.5 ppm at 4°C for 45 min on the sensory characteristics of carcasses, and they discovered that samples from both treatments had similar acceptance scores for odor and overall appearance, but that O₃ had a significantly higher acceptance score for color than the chlorine treatment. As well as, Muhlisin *et al.*(2016) conducted another study to assess the effects of gaseous O₃ (214 ppm O₃/ m³) at 4°C for 4 days on the surface color of chicken and duck breasts., and they found that over storage time, O₃ exposure substantially decreased the Commission Internationale de l'

Eclairage a* (CIE a*) value (redness) of duck and chicken breast, while the CIE L* (lightness) and CIE b* (yellowness) values fluctuated, and attributed the decrease in CIE a* value to the ozone-induced oxidation of myoglobin and oxymyoglobin to metmyoglobin, which resulted in meat discoloration and lower redness (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). In addition, the major decrease in CIE a* observed was not caused by the gaseous O₃ dose, but was affected by storage time. The results of this study were also agreed with EL Dahshan *et al.* (2013) who studied the effects of gaseous O₃ as 40, 60, and 70 ppm for 20 min on chicken quality properties such as color, odor, and texture, they found that immediately after O₃ treatment panelists were unable to detect any color, odor or texture differences between the ozonated and control groups. They also found that at the O₃ concentrations and exposure periods used, O₃ had no negative effects on the color, odor, or texture of the chicken breasts, and that it also extended the appropriate features by more than nine days.

Our results revealed an improvement in the degree of acceptance of ozonated meat by the consumer after cooking with microwave oven at 175 °C for 30 min in terms of improving the degree of preference from preferred to highly preferred (Table 3). These findings matched those of Graham *et al.* (2002), who compared the flavor of roasted treated birds from the pilot chiller water maintained at 4 °C with flavor of roasted control birds from the plant chiller after roasting at 350 °F for 1 hour and cooling in refrigerator overnight before tasting. They discovered that ozonated chickens were identical to commercial chickens from the same line treated with chlorine in sensory evaluations by expert panels and at-home food service. Gertzou *et al.* (2016) conducted another study to determine the effect of different O₃ doses (2, 5, and 10 ppm for 1 h) on the shelf life and sensory properties of fresh chicken legs packed in polyamide/polyethylene (PA/PE) bags and stored at 4 °C for a duration of 12 days, and discovered that after microwave cooking at high power (700 W) for 4 min, the acceptability (odor, texture, appearance, and taste) of cooked chicken meat was maintained at a higher score than three for 10 days in samples treated with 10 ppm of ozone (acceptability score ranging from five to zero, with five corresponding to a most liked sample), although samples treated with 2 - 5 ppm of O₃ maintained an adequate score of three for eight days. Furthermore, they concluded that a 10 ppm O₃ treatment for 1 hr was adequate for preserving the freshness and consistency of chicken legs packed in PA/PE plastic containers under

refrigeration, since they retained the original characteristic features of fresh chicken legs'' fresh score'' for 10 days .

CONCLUSION

Our data concluded that treated meat with aqueous O₃ (0.5ppm) for 20 min at 4 °C were exhibited enhancement in the cooked meat quality including surface color, odor and consumer preference as compared to control. So, our data recommended that aqueous O₃ can be used as an antimicrobial agent either at slaughter houses or before domestic cooking (home and restaurants) without adversely affecting the organoleptic characteristic of the meat (color, taste, and aroma), as well as reducing the off-flavor (rancidity).

Authors' Contributions

MHGK completed the laboratory work for this study, as well as organizing, writing, and reviewing the manuscript. AMT and FAM were in charge of data analysis and interpretation of the outcomes. The final version of the manuscript has been read and approved by all of the researchers.

Acknowledgments

The article was written and supported via the researchers.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declares that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Adeyemo, I A, and Sani, A (2013). Physical appearance and organoleptic properties of poultry meat fed *Aspergillus niger* hydrolyzed cassava peel meal based diet. *International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research*, 1(6), 166-171.
- [2]. Ahmad, R S, Imran, A, and Hussain, M B (2018) Nutritional composition of meat. *Meat science and nutrition*, 61(10.5772).
- [3]. Bekhit, A E D A, Hopkins, D L, Fahri, F T, and Ponnampalam, E N (2013). Oxidative processes in muscle systems and fresh meat: Sources, markers, and remedies. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 12(5), 565-597.
- [4]. Castellini, C, Berri, C, Le Bihan-Duval, E, and Martino, G (2008). Qualitative attributes and consumer perception of organic and free-range poultry meat. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 64(4), 500-512.
- [5]. EL-Dahshan, H A, Hafez, T A, and EL-Ghayaty, H A (2013). Effect of ozone on preservation of chilled chicken. *Assiut Vet. Med. J*, 59(136), 22-26.

- [6]. Fanatico, A C, Pillai, P B, Emmert, J L, and Owens, C M (2007). Meat quality of slow-and fast-growing chicken genotypes fed low-nutrient or standard diets and raised indoors or with outdoor access. *Poultry science*, 86(10), 2245-2255.
- [7]. FDA (2001) Federal Register, Vol. 66 No.123, June 26 (2001) Department of Health and Human Services, *Food and Drug Administration, Rules and Regulations*, pp 33829-33830.
- [8]. Gertzou, I N, Drosos, P E, Karabagias, I K, and Riganakos, K A (2016). Combined effect of ozonation and packaging on shelf life extension of fresh chicken legs during storage under refrigeration. *Journal of food science and technology*, 53(12), 4270-4277.
- [9]. Ghaffoori, M H (2017) Prevalence of *Campylobacter jejuni* In Chicken Meat Marketed In Baghdad Province. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci*, 4(6), 1-11.
- [10]. Graham, D M, Strasser, J, and Mannapperuma, J D (2002). Applications of ozonation and membrane treatment in poultry processing: Final report. *California Energy Commission, Sacramento, CA*. http://www.energy.ca.gov/reports/2003-03-21_400-02-023F.pdf.
- [11]. Guzel-Seydim, Z B, Greene, A K, and Seydim, A C (2004). Use of ozone in the food industry. *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, 37(4), 453-460.
- [12]. Kanaan, M H (2018) Antibacterial effect of ozonated water against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* contaminating chicken meat in Wasit Province, Iraq. *Veterinary world*, 11(10), 1445.
- [13]. Kanaan, M H (2018). Antibacterial effect of ozonated water against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* contaminating chicken meat in Wasit Province, Iraq. *Vet World.*, 11(10), 1445.
- [14]. Kanaan, M H (2021) Prevalence, resistance to antimicrobials, and antibiograms of *Aerobacter* species recovered from retail meat in Wasit marketplaces in Iraq. *Health*, 7(1), 142-150.
- [15]. Kanaan, M H G, Al-Shadeedi, S M, Al-Massody, A J, and Ghasemian, A (2020) Drug resistance and virulence traits of *Acinetobacter baumannii* from Turkey and chicken raw meat. *Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases*, 70, 101451.
- [16]. Kanaan, M H G, and Abdullah, S S (2019) *Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus As A Superbug Foodborne Pathogen*, LAP Academic Publisher, Germany.
- [17]. Kanaan, M H G, and Abdulwahid, M T (2019) Prevalence Rate, Antibiotic Resistance and Biotyping of Thermotolerant *Campylobacter* Isolated from Poultry Products Vended in Wasit Markets. *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal*, 7(3), 905-917.
- [18]. Kanaan, M H G, and Al-Isawi, A J O (2019) Prevalence of Methicillin or Multiple Drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Cattle Meat Marketed in Wasit Province. *Biochem. Cell. Arch.*, 19(1), 495-502.
- [19]. Kanaan, M H G, and Mohammed, F A (2020) ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE OF *CAMPYLOBACTER JEJUNI* FROM POULTRY MEAT IN LOCAL MARKETS OF IRAQ. *Plant Archives*, 20(1), 410-415.
- [20]. Kanaan, M H, and Khashan, H T (2018) Prevalence of multidrug resistant thermotolerant species of *Campylobacter* in Retail Frozen Chicken meat in Baghdad Province. *Curr Res Microbiol Biotechnol*, 6(1), 1431-1440.

- [21]. Kanaan, M, & Abdullah, S (2021) Evaluation of aqueous Ozone as a method to combat multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* tainting cattle meat sold in Wasit marketplaces. *Mansoura Veterinary Medical Journal*, 22(3), 117-123.
- [22]. Kim, D, and Day, D F (2007). A biocidal combination capable of sanitizing raw chicken skin. *Food control*, 18(10), 1272-1276.
- [23]. Kim, J G, Yousef, A E, and Dave, S (1999). Application of ozone for enhancing the microbiological safety and quality of foods: a review. *Journal of food protection*, 62(9), 1071-1087.
- [24]. Liu, Y, Lyon, B G, Windham, W R, Lyon, C E, and Savage, E M (2004). Principal component analysis of physical, color, and sensory characteristics of chicken breasts deboned at two, four, six, and twenty-four hours postmortem. *Poultry science*, 83(1), 101-108.
- [25]. Mancini, R A, and Hunt, M (2005). Current research in meat color. *Meat science*, 71(1), 100-121.
- [26]. Manousaridis, G, Nerantzaki, A, Paleologos, E K, Tsiotsias, A, Savvaidis, I N, and Kontominas, M G (2005). Effect of ozone on microbial, chemical and sensory attributes of shucked mussels. *Food microbiology*, 22(1), 1-9.
- [27]. Muhlisin, M, Utama, D T, Lee, J H, Choi, J H, and Lee, S K (2016). Effects of gaseous ozone exposure on bacterial counts and oxidative properties in chicken and duck breast meat. *Korean journal for food science of animal resources*, 36(3), 405.
- [28]. Pereira, P M D C C , and Vicente, A F D R B (2013) Meat nutritional composition and nutritive role in the human diet. *Meat science*, 93(3), 586-592.
- [29]. Saha, A, Perumalla, A V S, Lee, Y, Meullenet, J F, and Owens, C M (2009). Tenderness, moistness, and flavor of pre-and postrigor marinated broiler breast fillets evaluated by consumer sensory panel. *Poultry Science*, 88(6), 1250-1256.
- [30]. Trindade, M A, Kushida, M M, Montes Villanueva, N D, Pereira, D U D S, and de OLIVEIRA, C E L (2012). Comparison of ozone and chlorine in low concentrations as sanitizing agents of chicken carcasses in the water immersion chiller. *Journal of food protection*, 75(6), 1139-1143.
- [31]. Wang, Y H, and Chen, K C (2014). Removal of disinfection by-products from contaminated water using a synthetic goethite catalyst via catalytic ozonation and a biofiltration system. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 11(9), 9325-9344.

| Table 1: Sensory attributes (surface color) before microwave oven cooking of control and treated meat with aqueous ozone (0.5 ppm/20 min) | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Sample type | Set number | Control | | Treated | |
| | | Colour | | | |
| | | C (%) | NC (%) | C (%) | NC (%) |
| Chicken meat | 1 | - | 30/30 (100) | 3/30 (10) | 27/30 (90) |
| | 2 | - | 30/30(100) | 2/30 (6.7) | 28/30 (93.3) |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 3 | - | 30/30(100) | - | 30/30 (100) |
| | 4 | - | 30/30(100) | 6/30 (20) | 24/30 (80) |
| | 5 | - | 30/30(100) | 7/30 (23.3) | 23/30 (76.7) |
| Total | | (0) | 150/150(100) | 18/120 (15) | 132/150 (88) |
| Sample type | Set number | C (%) | NC (%) | C (%) | NC (%) |
| Cattle meat | 1 | - | 20/20(100) | 3/20 (15) | 17/20 (85) |
| | 2 | - | 20/20(100) | 4/20 (20) | 16/20 (80) |
| | 3 | - | 20/20(100) | 1/20 (5) | 19/20 (95) |
| | 4 | - | 20/20(100) | 2/20 (10) | 18/20 (90) |
| | 5 | - | 20/20(100) | 1/20 (5) | 19/20 (95) |
| Total | | | 100/100(100) | 11/100 (11) | 89/100 (89) |
| C= change; NC= no change | | | | | |

Table 2: Sensory attributes (odor) before and after microwave oven cooking 175 °C/30 min of control and treated meat with aqueous ozone (0.5 ppm/20 min)

| Sample type | Set number | Control | | Treated | |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Odor | | | |
| | | C (%) | NC (%) | C (%) | NC (%) |
| Chicken meat | 1 | - | 30/30 (100) | 12/30 (40) | 18/30 (60) |
| | 2 | - | 30/30 (100) | - | 30/30 (100) |
| | 3 | - | 30/30 (100) | 6/30 (20) | 24/30 (80) |
| | 4 | 5/30 (16.7) | 25/30 (83.3) | 9/30 (30) | 21/30 (70) |
| | 5 | 2/30 (6.7) | 28/30 (93.3) | - | 30/30 (100) |
| Total (%) | | 7/60 (11.7) | 143/150 (95.3) | 27/60 (45) | 123/150 (82) |
| Sample type | Set number | C (%) | NC (%) | C (%) | NC (%) |
| Cattle meat | 1 | 5/20 (25) | 15/20 (75) | 3/20 (15) | 17/20 (85) |
| | 2 | 9/20 (45) | 11/20 (55) | 7/20 (35) | 13/20 (65) |
| | 3 | 3/20 (15) | 17/20 (85) | 5/20 (25) | 15/20 (75) |
| | 4 | 4/20 (20) | 16/20 (80) | 2/20 (10) | 18/20 (90) |
| | 5 | 5/20 (25) | 15/20 (75) | 8/20 (40) | 12/20 (60) |
| Total (%) | | 26/100 (26) | 74/100 (74) | 25/100 (25) | 75/100 (75) |
| C= change; NC= no change | | | | | |

Table 3. Tester's acceptability (%) of control and treated meat with aqueous ozone (0.5 ppm/20 min) after microwave oven cooking 175 °C/30 min and kept in refrigerator overnight.

| Sample type | Set number | Acceptability degree (%) | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | Control (%) | | | Treated (%) | | |
| | | +(%) | ++(%) | +++(%) | +(%) | ++(%) | +++(%) |
| Chicken meat | 1 | - | 30/30 (100) | | | - | 30/30(100) |
| | 2 | - | 30/30 (100) | | | - | 30/30 (100) |
| | 3 | - | 30/30 (100) | | | - | 30/30 (100) |
| | 4 | 5/30 (16.7) | 25/30 (83.3) | | | 11/30 (36.7) | 19/30 (63.3) |
| | 5 | 7/30 (23.3) | 23/30 (76.7) | | | 9/30 (30) | 21/30 (70) |
| Total (%) | | 12/60 (20) | 138/150 (92) | | | 20/60 (33.3) | 130/150 (86.7) |
| Sample type | Set number | +(%) | ++(%) | +++(%) | +(%) | ++(%) | (%)+++ |
| Cattle meat | 1 | 3/20 (15) | 17/20 (85) | | | 1/20 (5) | 19/20 (95) |
| | 2 | 2/20 (10) | 18/20 (90) | | | - | 20/20 (100) |
| | 3 | 3/20 (15) | 17/20 (85) | | | 1/20 (5) | 19/20 (95) |
| | 4 | - | 20/20 (100) | | | - | 20/20 (100) |
| | 5 | - | 20/20 (100) | | | - | 20/20 (100) |
| Total (%) | | 8/60 (13.3) | 92/100 (92) | | | 2/40 (5) | 98/100 (98) |

+= acceptable
 += preferred
 ++ += highly preferred