

The Effect Of Whole-Body Vibration Therapy On Body Functions And Structures Activities And Participation After Chronic Stroke

Pooja Devi¹, R. Deepak^{2*}, S. Shubham³

¹MPT, Department Of Physiotherapy, Santosh Paramedical College, Hospital, Ghaziabad

²Professor/Principal, Department Of Physiotherapy, Santosh Paramedical College, Hospital, Ghaziabad

³ Assistant Professor, Department Of Physiotherapy, Santosh Paramedical College, Hospital, Ghaziabad

***Corresponding Author:** R Deepak

*Professor, Department Of Physiotherapy (Orthopedics), Santosh Medical College, Santosh Deemed To Be University Ghaziabad, Principal/HOD, Department Of Physiotherapy, Santosh Paramedical College, Hospital, Ghaziabad, deepak.raghav@santosh.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Objective: This study needs to investigate the effect of WBV therapy on body functions and their structures activities after chronic stroke. Stroke patients who were able to undergo gait training were randomly divided into an aims vibrations therapy group and control group.

This study aimed to investigate the effect of whole-body vibration therapy on lower extremity function in subacute stroke patients. Subacute stroke patients who were able to undergo gait training were randomly divided into a vibration therapy group and a control group. All patients attended 20-min training sessions twice daily, 5 times a week for 2 weeks. Each session included 45 squats. The vibration group trained on a vibration platform and the control group trained on the ground. The degree of maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque was evaluated, and manual muscle tests of hip and knee flexion and extension were performed. The Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, Timed Up and Go Test, and Functional Ambulation Category were used. A total of 38 patients, 20 in the vibration group and 18 in the control group, were included in the analysis. After the 2-week therapy, the vibration group showed significant improvements in lower extremity strength, balance, and gait performance. The vibration group showed significantly better performance on the Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, and Functional Ambulation Category than the control group. There were no significant differences in maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque or manual muscle tests between the groups. Our results suggest that additional training with whole-body vibration may effectively improve the balance and gait performance of chronic stroke patients.

Introduction:

Stroke is a serious medical condition with various complications caused by brain damage, including motor weakness, sensory deficit, balance and/or proprioception impairment, cognitive impairment, language problems, and swallowing difficulties. Gait disturbance and impaired functional mobility are common problems in stroke patients. As independent transfer is vital to performing activities of daily living, efforts to improve gait function are a crucial part of rehabilitation. Many types of treatment have been developed to that end, including therapeutic exercise, electrical stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and robotics-assisted gait training. Whole-body vibration (WBV) therapy has recently been investigated as a rehabilitation method for athletes and patients. It has been increasingly used in clinical practice for improving neuro-motor performance in various patient populations. Mechanical vibration at different frequencies and intensities has been widely used to improve muscle function and performance (Martinez et al., 2013; Torvinen et al., 2002) (7)(22).

The vibration signals activate the muscle spindles, inducing reflexive activation of motor units (Pang et al., 2006) (15).

Thus, WBV training may reduce disability by improving balance, gait performance, and mobility in stroke patients (Yang et al., 2015) (22).

However, although several studies have demonstrated the effects of WBV therapy on the functional recovery of the lower extremities, its benefit for subacute stroke patients has not been well established. Most rehabilitation therapies are known to be more effective when applied at an early stage. Thus, we hypothesized that early WBV therapy would be more effective in improving balance and gait performance. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of WBV therapy on lower extremity function in chronic stroke patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

METHODS:

STUDY DESIGN:

This study design is experimental.

DATA SOURCES:

Comprehensive searches were conducted in PubMed, BB DIXIT LIBRARY AIIMS, KIRPAL REHABILITATION CENTRE DELHI

INCLUSION/EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Between 50 to 70 years old.
2. MAS score is more than 7 points.
3. BBS score is more than 7 points.
4. No history of psychiatric disorder.
5. Had some reflexes.

Exclusion Criteria:

1. Previous Neurological Disorder (Alzheimer Dementia)
2. Having high blood pressure and diabetic issues

Participants:

The study enrolled patients who referred to our medical centre with a stroke. The participants were first-onset subacute stroke patients within 2 months of the incident and had motor weakness with walking disability. Patients were eligible if their scores in the Korean version of the Mini-Mental State Examination were 10 or above and their motor power of hip and knee extension was 3 or above on the Medical Research Council scale to ensure that they could undergo standing and gait training. Patients who had a cerebrovascular incident history or non-stroke-related sensory or motor impairments, used medications that could interfere with postural control, did not cooperate in assessment and therapy, or had contraindications for WBV, such as recent fractures, malignancies, or a cardiac pacemaker, were excluded from the study. Forty patients met the inclusion criteria. These patients were randomly divided into a WBV therapy group and a control group. Two participants could not be followed up because of health problems (Fig. 1). Therefore, 38 patients, 20 in the WBV group and 18 in the control group, completed the therapy and were included in the analysis. G Power 3.1 was used to calculate the required sample size. Based on a power of 80% and a two tailed alpha of 0.05, we calculated that the sample size required per group was 18. Assuming a 10% loss to follow-up, we estimated that the final sample size required was 20 per group—that is, a total of 40 patients.




Fig. 1. Study flow diagram

Methods:

All participants attended 20-min conventional therapy sessions twice daily, 5 times a week for 2 weeks. The therapy included standing balance and gait training provided by a physical therapist. In each session, the patients in both groups additionally performed 45 squats with the therapist's assistance as appropriate. The patients in the WBV therapy group trained on a vibration platform while those in the control group trained on the ground. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis, various frequencies have been tested in WBV therapy to date, ranging from 5 to 40 Hz (Yang et al., 2015). However, there are currently no guidelines regarding the appropriate frequency and amplitude for WBV therapy in stroke patients.

According to the instrument's guide, the recommended frequency for lower extremity training is 16 Hz. Since it was within the range of previous studies, we selected that frequency. The intensity of the stimulus provided by the instrument is adjustable to a range from 0 to 99. After several trials, we set the intensity to 40, a moderate intensity for the patients. Before the intervention, the participants' age, gender, location and type of lesions, location of paralysis, time from stroke onset, scores were recorded. The degree of maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque was evaluated, and manual muscle tests were performed to evaluate lower extremity strength. The Berg Balance Scale was used to evaluate balance. The 10-m walking test, Timed Up and Go Test, and Functional Ambulation Category were used to evaluate gait performance. These evaluations were performed at baseline and immediately after the 2-week therapy.

Maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque was measured on hip and knee flexion and extension on the affected side using an isokinetic multijoint test system (Biodex System 4, Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY, GERMANY). The highest values were derived from three measurements for each. Manual muscle tests were performed on hip and knee flexion and extension on the affected side according to the traditional method (G0–G5). The Berg Balance Scale tasks were performed according to the tester's instructions. Each item was rated on a scale from 0 to 4 points, with a maximum total of 56 points. Higher scores indicate higher levels of function. In the 10-m walk test, each patient was asked to walk a distance of 14 m, and the time it took to cover 10 m was recorded. The Timed Up and Go Test measured how long it took the patient to get up from a chair with an armrest, walk 3m, change direction, and return to the chair. The Functional Ambulation Category was rated from 0 to 5, depending on the degree to which the patient required assistance to walk. 0 represents inability to walk or requiring assistance from two or more persons, and 5 represents ability to walk independently anywhere.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS ver. 18.0. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparisons between the two groups. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to evaluate differences in each patient's maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, manual muscle tests, Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, Timed Up and Go Test, and Functional Ambulation Category before and after treatment. The level of statistical significance was set to $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS:

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the participants. There were no significant differences in age, gender, affected side, type of stroke, time from stroke onset, and baseline Mini-Mental State Examination, manual muscle tests, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, Timed Up and Go Test, and Functional Ambulation Category between the two groups.

After 2 weeks of therapy, the patients in both groups showed significant improvement in the manual muscle test of hip and knee flexion and extension ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

The patients in both groups also significantly improved their Berg Balance Scale and Functional Ambulation Category scores ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

The WBV group showed significantly greater improvement in the Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, and Functional Ambulation Category than the control group ($P < 0.05$). Conversely, there were no statistically significant differences in maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, manual muscle tests, or the Timed Up and Go Test between the two groups ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the two groups

Characteristic	VG (n= 20)	CG (n= 18)	P-value
Age (yr)	57.20± 11.00	55.70± 10.40	0.173
Sex, male:female	8:12	7:11	0.850
Lesion			
Right:left	11:9	7:11	0.465
Ischemic:hemorrhagic	15:5	10:8	0.206
Supratentorial:infratentorial	16:4	15:3	0.850
Day from stroke onset	31.60±15.18	28.00± 8.72	0.134
K-MMSE	18.60± 6.70	20.33± 2.08	0.356
MMT			

HF	3.10± 0.55	3.33± 0.58	0.395
HE	3.20± 0.51	3.25± 0.30	0.255
KF	3.21± 0.25	3.02± 0.45	0.455
KE	3.60± 0.55	3.30± 0.23	0.495
MIT			
HF(N-m)	29.40± 5.72	31.67± 7.51	0.072
HE(N-m)	22.60± 5.94	23.67± 4.73	0.174
KF(N-m)	24.60± 6.11	22.33± 6.81	0.153
KE(N-m)	33.40± 5.13	31.33± 8.50	0.230
BBS	35.40± 8.14	36.00±12.17	0.272
10MWT (sec)	24.00± 5.79	22.45± 7.55	0.151
TUGT (sec)	22.00± 6.12	19.67± 6.43	0.252
FAC	3.20± 0.45	3.37± 0.58	0.271

Values are numbers or mean± standard deviation or number.

VG, vibration group; CG, control group; K-MMSE, Korean version of Mini-Mental State Examination; MMT, manual muscle test, affected side; HF, hip flexor; HE, hip extensor; KF, knee flexor; KE, knee extensor; MIT, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, affected side; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; 10MWT, 10-m walking test; TUGT, Timed Up and Go Test; FAC, Functional Ambulation Category.

Table 2. Changes of measurements by the therapy and clinical correlation between the two groups

Variable	VG (n=20)		P-value	CG (n=18)		P-value
	Pre	Post		Pre	Post	
MMT						
HF	3.10± 0.55	3.60± 0.25	0.005*	3.33± 0.58	3.67± 0.38	0.015*
HE	3.20± 0.51	3.70± 0.46	0.005*	3.25± 0.30	3.60± 0.52	0.020*
KF	3.21± 0.25	3.60± 0.63	0.025*	3.02± 0.45	3.35± 0.51	0.007*
KE	3.60± 0.55	4.10± 0.55	0.001*	3.30± 0.23	3.65± 0.23	0.010*
MIT						
HF (N-m)	29.40± 5.72	35.60± 4.93	0.032*	31.67± 7.51	35.90± 5.54	0.017*
HE (N-m)	22.60± 5.94	29.20± 5.50	0.003*	23.67± 4.73	27.67± 6.43	0.047*
KF (N-m)	24.60± 6.11	30.60± 4.22	0.030*	22.33± 6.81	27.33± 4.51	0.003*
KE (N-m)	33.40± 5.13	39.80± 4.38	0.005*	31.33± 8.50	36.33± 6.21	0.002*
BBS	35.40± 8.14	46.80± 6.14	0.002*	36.00± 12.17	40.00± 15.62	0.027*
10MWT (sec)	24.00± 5.79	18.00± 3.54	0.032*	22.45± 7.55	19.67± 8.74	0.109
TUGT (sec)	22.00± 6.12	20.20± 3.63	0.068	19.67± 6.43	18.33± 6.81	0.102
FAC	3.20± 0.45	4.30± 0.50	0.006*	3.37± 0.58	3.70± 1.20	0.035*

Values are presented as mean± standard deviation.

VG, vibration group; CG, control group; MMT, manual muscle test, affected side; HF, hip flexor; HE, hip extensor; KF, knee flexor; KE, knee extensor; MIT, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, affected side; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; 10MWT, 10-meter walking test; TUGT, Timed Up and Go Test; FAC, Functional Ambulation Category.

*P< 0.05 by Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Table 3. Comparison of the therapeutic effect between the two groups

Variable	VG(n=20)	CG(n=18)	P-value
ΔMMT			
HF	0.50± 0.06	0.34± 0.30	0.089
HE	0.50± 0.12	0.35± 0.58	0.135
KF	0.39± 0.23	0.33± 0.18	0.754
KE	0.51± 0.15	0.35± 0.20	0.059
ΔMIT			

HF (N-m)	6.20± 2.49	4.33± 2.31	0.079
HE (N-m)	6.60± 2.07	4.00± 1.73	0.064
KF (N-m)	6.00± 2.24	5.00± 1.52	0.535
KE (N-m)	6.20± 0.89	5.00± 1.73	0.53
ΔBBS	11.40± 2.88	4.00± 3.46	0.012*
Δ10MWT (sec)	-6.00± 2.35	-3.33± 1.53	0.037*
ΔTUGT (sec)	-1.80± 1.59	-1.33± 0.58	0.539
ΔFAC	1.10± 0.45	0.33± 0.48	0.043*

Values are presented as mean± standard deviation.

VG, vibration group; CG, control group; MMT, manual muscle test, affected side; HF, hip flexor; HE, hip extensor; KF, knee flexor; KE, knee extensor; MIT, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, affected side; BBS, Berg Balance Scale; 10MWT, 10-meter walking test; TUGT, Timed Up and Go Test; FAC, Functional Ambulation Category.

*P< 0.05 by Mann-Whitney U-test.

DISCUSSION:

In this study, we compared the effects of WBV therapy with those of conventional rehabilitation therapy on the lower extremity function of subacute stroke patients. After the two-week therapy, the WBV group showed significant improvement in lower extremity strength, balance, and gait performance, as measured by manual muscle tests, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, and the Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, and Functional Ambulation Category. The control group also showed statistically significant improvement in the manual muscle tests, maximal isokinetic voluntary contraction torque, Berg Balance Scale, and Functional Ambulation Category. However, the WBV group showed greater improvement in the Berg Balance Scale, 10-m walk test, and Functional Ambulation Category. The results suggest that additional training with WBV may effectively improve the balance and gait performance of subacute stroke patients. We speculate that the improvement in balance through WBV therapy is closely related to improvements in the functional level and speed of walking. Previous studies have reported that WBV therapy can improve balance through several mechanisms, including the modulation of the excitability of the spinal motoneuronal pool (Kipp et al., 2011)(10). The activation of motor units (Pang et al., 2006), and improved proprioception (Fontana et al., 2005)(16). The WBV platform generates vertical oscillations and horizontal movements. The contact surface of the platform transmits vibration stimuli from the feet to the rest of the body (Yang et al., 2015)(23). WBV therapy is thought to activate the I a and II afferent fibers (Nardone et al., 2001)(9) and tonic vibration reflexes by detecting the stretch of the muscle (Fontana et al., 2005)(15)(6). The effects can improve postural control and thus enhance proprioceptive function. These neuromuscular responses have been associated with improvements in the muscular strength and power of the lower extremities, flexibility, gait speed, and balance, as well as reduced pain and risk of falls (Cochrane, 2011)(4). Evidence also suggests that in young adults, WBV can exert a training effect on muscles by increasing tissue oxygenation (Games and Sefton, 2013), blood perfusion (Kerschman-Schindl et al., 2001), and intramuscular temperature (Cochrane et al., 2010)(2)(5)(7). A previous study using the Barthel index suggested that WBV therapy is more effective in improving functional performance than conventional rehabilitation (Van Nes et al., 2006)(22). Another study reported that WBV therapy resulted in significant improvements in the Barthel index in both a WBV group and a control group exercising with music (Merkert et al., 2011). Huh et al. (2015) found that balance control training improves both balance and gait (8). WBV stimulation appears to enhance weight-shifting to the hemiplegic side and improve balance control, thereby effectively improving gait performance. Several studies have reported mobility improvements after WBV therapy. Merkert et al. (2011) reported better performance on the Timed Up and Go Test in a WBV group after 3 weeks of therapy (14). Likewise, (19) Silva et al. (2014) found that WBV therapy improved performance in the Timed Up and Go Test and 6-min walk test. Chan et al. (2012) observed significant improvements in walking speed after WBV therapy. Choi et al. (1)(2) (2017) found that WBV combined with treadmill training improved the walking speed, step length, and stride length of chronic stroke patients (3). Priplata et al. (2003) reported that WBV is useful for stimulating proprioception and can result in long-lasting postural improvement (17). In contrast, other studies have concluded that WBV therapy does not significantly improve the postural balance or body function of stroke patients. Lau et al. (2012) found that a leg exercise protocol using WBV therapy was no more effective in improving neuro-motor performance besides reducing the incidence of falls in chronic stroke patients (11). Other studies observed no significant postural improvements irrespective of the outcome measures used and regardless of whether the control groups underwent the same training without WBV (Lau et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2013) or with WBV (Brogardh et al., 2012). Van Nes et al. (2006) reported that although a 6-week WBV therapy improved balance, the improvement was not more significant than that in a control group (1)(12)(13).

We assume that this uncertainty is partly due to factors such as differences in patients' functional states and a lack of standardization in the frequency, duration, and intensity of the treatment. Despite this uncertainty, our results suggest that

WBV therapy may effectively improve balance and gait performance in subacute stroke patients. Because it showed additional benefits to conventional physical therapy, we consider it a clinically useful tool.

WBV therapy has no complications, such as dizziness, lower limb soreness and fatigue, or an itching sensation (Tankisheva et al., 2014) (20).

Furthermore, WBV can provide continuous treatment. Just standing on the vibrator platform can keep the patient's muscle spindles active. It can thus be used as an alternative to conventional rehabilitation treatment, especially in the absence of a physical therapist, to improve the standing balance of subacute and chronic stroke patients.

Conclusion:

To our knowledge, the long-term effects of WBV on the recovery of balance and activities of daily living in the chronic phase of stroke, Although this treatment was well tolerated and appreciated by the most of the patients.

REFERENCES:

1. Brogardh C, Flansbjerg UB, Lexell J. No specific effect of whole-body vibration training in chronic stroke: a double-blind randomized controlled study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2012;93:253-258.
2. Chan KS, Liu CW, Chen TW, Weng MC, Huang MH, Chen CH. Effects of a single session of whole body vibration on ankle plantarflexion spasticity and gait performance in patients with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2012;26:1087-1095.
3. Choi W, Han D, Kim J, Lee S. Whole-body vibration combined with treadmill training improves walking performance in post-stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Med Sci Monit* 2017;23:4918- 4925.
4. Cochrane DJ. The potential neural mechanisms of acute indirect vibration. *J Sports Sci Med* 2011;10:19-30.
5. Cochrane DJ, Stannard SR, Firth EC, Rittweger J. Comparing muscle temperature during static and dynamic squatting with and without whole-body vibration. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2010;30:223-229.
6. Fontana TL, Richardson CA, Stanton WR. The effect of weight bearing exercise with low frequency whole body vibration on lumbosacral proprioception: a pilot study on normal subjects. *Aust J Physiother* 2005;51:259-263.
7. Games KE, Sefton JM. Whole-body vibration influences lower extremity circulatory and neurological function. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2013;23: 516-523.
8. Huh JS, Lee YS, Kim CH, Min YS, Kang MG, Jung TD. Effects of balance control training on functional outcomes in subacute hemiparetic stroke patients. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2015;39:995-1001.
9. Kerschman-Schindl K, Grampp S, Henk C, Resch H, Preisinger E, Fialka-Moser V, Imhof H. Whole-body vibration exercise leads to alterations in muscle blood volume. *Clin Physiol* 2001;21:377-382.
10. Kipp K, Johnson ST, Doeringer JR, Hoffman MA. Spinal reflex excitability and homosynaptic depression after a bout of whole body vibration. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;43:259-262.
11. Lau RWK, Yip SP, Pang MYC. Whole-body vibration has no effect on neuromotor function and falls in chronic stroke. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:1409-1418.
12. Martin PJ, Ferrero CM, Menendez H, Martin J, Herrero MA. Effects of whole-body vibration on muscle architecture, muscle strength, and balance in stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;92:881-888.
13. Martinez F, Rubio JA, Ramos DJ, Esteban P, Mendizabal S, Jimenez F. Effects of 6-week whole body vibration training on the reflex response of the ankle muscles: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Sports Phys Ther* 2013;8:15-24.
14. Merkert J, Butz S, Nieczaj R, Steinhagen-Thiessen E, Eckardt R. Combined whole body vibration and balance training using Vibrosphere®: improvement of trunk stability, muscle tone, and postural control in stroke patients during early geriatric rehabilitation. *Z Gerontol Geriatr* 2011;44:256-261.
15. Nardone A, Galante M, Lucas B and Schieppati M. Stancecontrol is not affected by paresis and reflex hyperexcitability: the case of spastic patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;70:635-643.
16. Pang MY, Harris JE, Eng JJ. A community-based upper-extremity group exercise program improves motor function and performance of functional activities in chronic stroke: a randomized controlledtrial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;87:1-9.
17. Priplata AA, Niemi JB, Harry JD, Lipsitz LA, Collins JJ. Vibrating insoles and balance control in elderly people. *Lancet* 2003;362:1123-1124.
18. Sañudo B, Taiar R, Furness T, Bernardo-Filho M. Clinical approaches of whole-body vibration exercises in individuals with stroke: a narrative revision. *Rehabil Res Pract* 2018;2018:8180901.
19. Silva AT, Dias MPF, Calixto R Jr, Carone AL, Martinez BB, Silva AM, Honorato DC. Acute effects of whole-body vibration on the motor function of patients with stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;93:310-319.
20. Tankisheva E, Bogaerts A, Boonen S, Feys H, Verschueren SMP. Effects of intensive whole body vibration training on muscle strength and balancein adults with chronic stroke: a randomized controlledpilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;95:439-446.



21. Torvinen S, Kannu P, Sievänen H, Jarvinen TAH, Pasanen M, Kontulainen S, Jarvinen TLN, Jarvinen M, Oja P, Vuori I. Effect of a vibration exposure on muscular performance and body balance: randomized cross-over study. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 2002;22:145-152.
22. Van Nes IJW, Latour H, Schils F, Meijer R, van Kuijk A, Geurts ACH. Long-term effects of 6-week whole-body vibration on balance recovery and activities of daily living in the postacute phase of stroke: a randomized, controlled trial. Stroke 2006;37:2331-2335.
23. Yang X, Wang Pu, Liu C, He C, Reinhardt JD. The effect of whole body vibration on balance, gait performance and mobility in people with stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Rehabil 2015;29: 627-638.