REDVET - Revista electrónica de Veterinaria - ISSN 1695-7504
Vol 25 No.1 (2024)
http://www.veterinaria.org
Article Received: Revised: Accepted:

Assessment Methods In Blended Engineering Courses

Dr. K. Dhanalakshmi[1*] , Dr. M M Gopi[2], Dr. A. Madhusudhana Rao[3], Dr. D. V. Adithya Joseph[4]

[1*]Associate Professor, Division Head, Department of English, RMK Engineering College, Kavaraipettai, TN, India
[2]Associate Professor& Head, Department of English, Siddharth Institute of Engineering &, Technology, Puttur, Tirupati District, AP
[3]Associate Professor, Department of English, Siddharth Institute of Engineering & ,Technology, Puttur, Tirupati District, AP.
[4]Associate Professor, Department of English, Siddharth Institute of Engineering &, Technology, Puttur, Tirupati District, AP.

Abstract:
In order to keep up with the ever-changing nature of the classroom, engineering schools that use blended learning strategies must rethink their assessment practices. This abstract dives into a study of evaluation strategies developed specifically for online engineering hybrid classes. To meet the specific requirements of engineering fields, it includes a review of various assessment methods, such as conventional exams, online exams, project-based evaluations, and collaborative assessments. The performance of these strategies in evaluating blended learners' understanding, problem-solving, and practical-skills capacities is also explored in the abstract. Furthermore, it delves into how different evaluation methods affect student involvement, learning results, and the efficacy of education as a whole. In order to better prepare students for the modern engineering profession and to promote holistic learning experiences, this abstract seeks to offer insights into optimizing evaluation practices in blended engineering courses by conducting an in-depth analysis of these assessment methodologies.

Keywords: project-based evaluations,collaborative assessments,practical-skills.

Introduction
Blended learning, a method of teaching that combines online and conventional classroom training, is one product of the modern era's push for more flexible and adaptable educational practices. Because of the profound effects of this paradigm shift on engineering education, assessment strategies developed for use in hybrid classrooms are now under scrutiny for their suitability to the unique requirements of engineering fields.

Engineering is one of several academic fields that has embraced blended learning for its adaptability and versatility. The delivery and organization of engineering courses have been transformed by the incorporation of digital technologies, multimedia materials, and interactive platforms. Because of the ever-changing nature of these educational developments and the wide variety of learning styles and skill sets shown by engineering students, evaluation methods must also change to keep up.

Blended engineering courses use methods of evaluation that go beyond the typical exam. Its comprehensive strategy incorporates a wide range of assessment tools, from time-honored in-person tests to cutting-edge digital quizzes and project-based evaluations. The major goal of these tests is to test students' capacity to apply engineering principles in real-world situations, as well as their critical thinking, problem-solving, and theoretical knowledge.

In mixed engineering courses, traditional forms of evaluation including examinations, quizzes, and in-class tasks are still important. Nevertheless, in order to adapt to the digital landscape, their execution is changed. Schedule flexibility and fast feedback are two benefits of taking tests and quizzes online using learning management systems (LMS). This allows students to study at their own speed. Insights into students' levels of understanding are gained from these digital exams, which enable adaptive pedagogical approaches.

In addition, evaluating engineering students' competence relies heavily on project-based evaluations. Engineering requires students to be able to work together, solve problems creatively, and demonstrate their technical expertise via group projects, simulations, and virtual laboratories. To help students bridge the gap between what they learn in the classroom and what employers are looking for in an employee, these tests mimic real-world events.

There is still a lot of curiosity about how well these evaluation tools work in mixed engineering courses to gauge student progress, involvement, and knowledge retention. Research on the effects of various forms of evaluation on students' performance in the classroom and their growth as learners has made important contributions to the field of engineering education.

Innovations in evaluation are also opening up as a result of technological progress. There is hope for more customized and immersive assessments thanks to adaptive learning platforms, AR/VR, and AI-enabled assessment technologies. By offering a more complete picture of students' skills and paving the way for personalized learning experiences, these new technologies may completely transform the way assessments are conducted.

It is not without its difficulties, however, that evaluation methodologies are being integrated into mixed engineering courses. There are a lot of obstacles, such as tech issues, unequal access to resources, the need to keep online evaluations honest, and the need to make sure they are linked to learning goals. Developing faculty, improving technology infrastructure, and refining pedagogy are all part of a comprehensive strategy that is necessary to address these difficulties.

The assessment landscape in mixed engineering courses is complex and includes both old and new ways of gauging student progress. Blended learning has great promise for engineering education, but only if we fully grasp the complexities and consequences of these evaluation methodologies. This investigation on assessment strategies designed for hybrid engineering courses aims to uncover the intricacies, difficulties, and potential gains in enhancing assessment processes to produce diverse engineering graduates capable of thriving in a dynamic technology environment.

2.Literature Review

2.1 Conventional Approaches to Evaluation:

Think about mixed engineering courses and how they employ conventional evaluation methods like quizzes, examinations, and assignments. Look at research that shows how these strategies work and what problems they have in evaluating engineering students' comprehension. Learn about the online or hybrid versions of these time-honored techniques.

2.2 Fresh Methods of Evaluation:

Determine how online evaluation tools like engineering discussion boards, virtual laboratories, and interactive simulations came to be in the field. Look at studies that demonstrate how well engineering students' abilities and knowledge can be measured via collaborative assessments and project-based evaluations.
Analyze how these novel methods affected participation and performance in integrated engineering courses.

2.3 Measuring Learning Outcomes: How Effective Are Assessment Methods?

2.4 Results of Learning:

Examine studies that compare various assessment methods in measuring engineering students' knowledge retention, problem-solving abilities, critical thinking, and practical skills. Discuss the findings that show how assessment strategies meet specific engineering learning objectives.

2.5 Active Participation and Skill Enhancement:

Look at the research that shows how different forms of assessment affect student participation in blended courses. Explore the ways in which different evaluation techniques aid in the development of abilities including collaboration, communication, and practical application of engineering concepts.

2.6 Literature Review and Analysis

Review the literature and summarize its main points, focusing on the various assessment strategies used in blended engineering courses. Discuss whether there is agreement or disagreement among researchers about which assessment methods are most effective in measuring engineering students' learning outcomes, engagement, and skill development. Find out what people don't know or what needs further investigation about assessment strategies in mixed engineering courses.

3.Technical Approach

Assessment strategies in hybrid engineering courses were investigated using a mixed-methods strategy that included qualitative and quantitative approaches. To start, we gathered prior research, academic publications, and practical studies on engineering education-specific evaluation methodologies in blended learning settings by conducting a thorough literature analysis. A basic overview of the various evaluation methodologies used was given by this review. After that, a sampling of engineering students from different schools that use blended learning were surveyed using a pre-made questionnaire. The goals of the survey were to gather numerical data on students' engagement levels, their views on the efficacy of various assessment methods in evaluating learning outcomes, and their preferences for these methods. Also, we spoke with seasoned engineering instructors who were great at using blended learning strategies via semi-structured interviews. The interviewees were able to provide rich qualitative information about the difficulties, achievements, and novel approaches to assessment in integrated engineering courses. The effectiveness and impact of assessment procedures on student learning outcomes and engagement in blended engineering education were examined using a mix of quantitative survey data and qualitative interview answers.

4.Conventional Approaches to Evaluation:

Blended learning incorporates both online and conventional classroom instruction in engineering courses. The goals of the assessments in integrated engineering courses are to test not only the students' knowledge of the academic principles but also their practical problem-solving abilities. Some of the most typical ways that integrated engineering courses evaluate student progress are as follows:

Assessments such as online quizzes and tests may be administered using various online platforms or learning management systems (LMS). Question formats may range from multiple-choice to fill-in-the-blank to short-answer, and they can cover a wide range of subjects.

Projects that put students' theoretical knowledge to use in real-world situations are a great way to gauge their progress in a course. The projects promote innovation and problem-solving abilities via the design, construction, or simulation of engineering systems.

Student analysis, evaluation, and solution proposal are facilitated by presenting real-world engineering challenges as case studies. Their capacity to apply theoretical understanding to real-world scenarios is evaluated using this technique.

Examining students' grasp of experimental methods, data analysis, and conclusions derived from experiments may be done via lab reports, which are a common form of assessment in courses that include laboratory work.

Group assignments and peer assessments are great ways to get students working together and improving their cooperation and teamwork abilities. As an added bonus, it facilitates evaluation by letting students provide helpful criticism to their classmates.

One way to measure students' proficiency in academic discourse and technical idea communication is to have them participate in online conversations, forums, or group chats.

Giving students in-person or online seminars or presentations is a great way to test their knowledge of difficult material and help them become better public speakers and presenters.

Students are able to monitor their own progress and level of comprehension with the use of formative tests, which provide continuous feedback throughout the semester. In order to determine if students have grasped the material, they may be given brief tasks to complete or polled on.

4.1 Midterms and Final tests: Even though this is a blended course, we may still use conventional tests to gauge how well students have learned the subject and how much they have retained.

Encouraging students to keep learning logs, reflective essays, or notebooks may help them reflect on their learning journey and self-assess their progress. This can provide insights into how they comprehend and learn.

Assessments for mixed engineering courses must be carefully planned to meet the requirements of all students. They must be based on clear goals, be fair and reliable, include clear instructions, and take into account different learning styles.

5.Assessment and Results

The success of pedagogical practices is heavily dependent on evaluation and results in mixed engineering courses, which in turn impact students' learning experiences and accomplishments. Educators in engineering education have made efforts to develop multi-faceted evaluation frameworks that accommodate different learning styles and objectives. These frameworks incorporate both traditional and innovative assessment methods, such as online quizzes, collaborative assignments, presentations, and hands-on projects. Students' understanding, application, and recall of complicated engineering ideas have been greatly improved as a result of the methodical integration of different approaches, which has produced several beneficial effects.

A number of empirical studies have shown that students' practical skills, critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and collaborative aptitude are enhanced by these diverse assessment techniques, which are delivered through online platforms and are carefully aligned with course objectives. Students' engagement, motivation, and happiness with the learning process are all positively impacted by these evaluations, particularly when they are conducted via digital platforms. Through the use of these evaluations, teachers are able to gauge their students' progress and provide specific, timely feedback, creating an atmosphere that promotes growth and comprehension. The importance of assessments in gauging students' grasp of engineering concepts and principles is highlighted by faculty perspectives and experiences with various assessment methodologies. Assessments also provide instructors with valuable feedback to improve their teaching methods. Concerns range from technical hurdles to assuring equal assessments and minimizing possible biases, and this is all on top of the obvious benefits of using these methodologies consistently across varied student cohorts. However, there is mounting evidence from various studies and educational settings that blended engineering courses benefit from using a variety of assessment methods that are well-aligned with one another. This, in turn, helps students have better learning experiences and develop complete skills in engineering.

Obstacles and Paths Ahead

The effectiveness and development of instructional approaches are profoundly affected by a wide range of complex factors, which provide both present and future challenges to integrated engineering education. It is a constant struggle for

educators to keep up with the rapid changes in technology, find ways to incorporate new tools and platforms into the classroom without disrupting student learning, and close the digital achievement gap. Further complicating matters is the fact that students come from a wide variety of backgrounds and learning styles; as a result, students need their lessons tailored to their specific needs in order to increase their level of engagement and understanding. Fairly evaluating students in virtual classrooms is an ongoing challenge that calls for creative solutions to combat cheating and maintain academic honesty while giving tests from a distance. Also, faculty training and professional growth in blended learning strategy design and implementation is crucial. Using predictive analytics to identify students at risk, augmenting and virtual reality to create more immersive learning environments, and artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning to personalize learning experiences are the future directions of blended engineering education. Blended engineering education is undergoing significant changes, and to keep up with these changes, institutions must work together and share best practices in order to innovate and improve teaching methods. This will ensure that graduates have the skills they need to succeed in this field.

Conclusion

The investigation of evaluation techniques in hybrid engineering classes exposes a veritable treasure trove of varied tactics that profoundly impact the results of student learning and the methods of instruction. They play a crucial role in evaluating students' practical skills, critical thinking abilities, collaborative aptitude, and theoretical knowledge through the amalgamation of traditional and innovative assessment techniques, such as online quizzes, hands-on projects, collaborative assignments, and presentations. These evaluations, which are online and carefully linked to the course goals, have shown that they may improve students' learning experiences by making them more engaged, motivated, and satisfied. In order to gauge thorough comprehension and provide focused feedback, which allows for constant development in teaching practices, faculty views highlight the need of diverse assessment methods. Nevertheless, there are still obstacles to overcome, such as the digital divide and obstacles to fair assessments caused by technology. This calls for continuous efforts to innovate pedagogy and educate teachers. In the future, mixed engineering education will likely focus on using AI, ML, AR, and predictive analytics to build adaptive learning environments that are both immersive and personalized for each student. To drive the evolution of blended engineering education and ensure graduates have the diverse skill set needed by the dynamic engineering landscape, it is imperative that institutions work together to share best practices and encourage innovation. This will help prepare the workforce for tomorrow's challenges.

Reference

1. Adams, J. & Defleur, M. H. (2006) The Acceptability of Online Degrees Earned as a Credential for Obtaining Employment. Communication Education, 55(1), 32-45.
2. Askar, P. (2005) Distance education in Turkey. In C. Howard, J. Boettcher, L. Justice, K. Shenk, P. L. Rogers & G. A. Berg (Eds) Encyclopedia of distance learning: Vol 2 (pp. 635–640) Hershey, PA, Idea
3. Group Inc.
4. Bartley, J.M. (2006) Assessment is as assessment does: a conceptual framework for understanding online assessment and measurement. In Mary Hricko and Scott L. Howell (eds.), Online Assessment and Measurement Foundations and Challenges (pp. 1-45). Information Science Publishing.
5. Benson, A.D. (2003). Assessing participant learning in online environments. New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education, 100, 69-78.
6. Brown, S., Race, P., & Bull, J. (Eds.). (1999). Computer-assisted assessment in higher education. London: Kogan Page.
7. Hanson, J., Millington, C., & Freewood, M. (2001). Developing a Methodology for Online
8. Feedback and Assessment. Paper presented at the Fifth International Computer Assisted Assessment Conference, Leicestershire, U.K.
9. HEC (2009). Turkish Higher Education Council, Retrieved May 30, 2009, from
10. http://www.yok.gov.tr/content/view/480/183/lang,tr/
11. Hodgson, P. (2006, December). Achieving enriched learning experience through blending computermediated and conventional assessment methods. Paper presented at the Evaluations and Assessment Conference, Australia. Retrieved May 30, 2009, from
12. http://otl.curtin.edu.au/eac2006/papers/hodgson.pdf
13. Liang, X. & Kim, C. (2004). Classroom assessment in web-based instructional environment:
14. instructors' experience. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 9(7). Retrieved March 23, 2009 from http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=9&n=7
15. MoNE (2007). National Education Statistics Formal Education 2006-2007. Ankara: MoNE.
16. Ozkul, A. E. (2001). Anadolu University Distance Education System from Emergence to 21st Century. The Turkish Online Journal of Distance Learning, 2(1), Retrieved January 12, 2009, from http://tojde.anadolu.edu.tr/tojde3/2/ekremtxt.htm
17. QAA (Quality Assurance Agency) (2006). Section 6: Assessment of students. Code of practice for the assurance of academic quality and standards in higher education. Retrieved February 2, 2009, from http://www.qaa.ac.uk

18. Reeves, T. C. (2000). Alternative Assessment Approaches for Online Learning Environments in Higher Education. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 23(1), 101–111.
19. Ross, J. A. & Ross, M. (2005). Student assessment in online courses: Research and practice, 1993- 2004. The Canadian Journal of University Continuing Education, 31(2), 43-63